

the project is profitable.

Well deliverability appears to be the single most important element in the evaluation - and this can only be determined by testing of appraisal wells.

The economic evaluation presented stands on the development of the Pelican Field in isolation. Should the investment costs in sub-sea lines and process plant be supported by cross-subsidisation through the development of another field in the same general area of the Bass Basin - then the economic viability would be further enhanced.

#### 2.8.10 Conclusions

1. Well deliverability is uncertain, however it is considered that the BMR analysis is very conservative.
2. The average field fluid composition is unknown, other than it is a liquids rich gas.
3. Well deliverability and gas composition can only be confirmed by the drilling and testing of appraisal wells.
4. The field configuration is such that multiple platform development is required.
5. Advantages in siting the process plant and operational base on the Tasmanian coast should be reviewed.
6. The possibility of "cycling" gas to increase early condensate production should be examined.
7. Under the assumptions, the project is marginally profitable with initial well deliverability rates of 5 MMCFD meeting