

**Airborne Geophysical Survey  
Beaconsfield**

October 2007

**Survey Operations and Logistics Report**

For

**BEACONSFIELD GOLD NL**

Survey Flown by:



**GPX Airborne**

**Job 2313**

<b>1</b>	<b>GENERAL SURVEY INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	INTRODUCTION.....	4
1.2	SURVEY BRIEF.....	4
1.3	SURVEY PERSONNEL.....	4
1.4	SURVEY EQUIPMENT.....	5
1.5	SURVEY PARAMETERS.....	6
1.6	SURVEY AREA .....	6
1.7	FLIGHT PATH IMAGE .....	7
<b>2</b>	<b>SURVEY EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS.....</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	DATA ACQUISITION CONSOLE.....	8
2.1.1	<i>Navigation / Flight Control</i> .....	8
2.1.2	<i>Data Recording</i> .....	9
2.1.3	<i>Display of real-time collected Data and status monitoring</i> .....	9
2.1.4	<i>Data Retrieval</i> .....	9
2.2	MAGNETOMETER PROCESSOR .....	9
2.3	MAGNETOMETER SENSOR .....	10
2.4	FLUXGATE MAGNETOMETER .....	10
2.5	SPECTROMETER .....	11
2.6	TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY SENSORS.....	11
2.7	BAROMETRIC PRESSURE SENSOR .....	11
2.8	RADAR ALTIMETER.....	12
2.9	GPS/DGPS RECEIVER .....	12
2.10	GEM GSM-19W OVERHAUSER MAGNETOMETER .....	12
2.10.1	<i>Base Station Locations</i> .....	13
<b>3</b>	<b>EQUIPMENT CALIBRATIONS AND DATA ACQUISITION CHECKS ..</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1	DYNAMIC MAGNETOMETER COMPENSATION .....	14
3.2	HEADING ERROR CHECK .....	14
3.3	SYSTEM PARALLAX TESTS .....	14
3.4	ALTIMETER CALIBRATIONS .....	15
3.5	RADIOMETRIC PRE SURVEY CALIBRATIONS .....	15
3.6	TIME SYNCHRONIZATION.....	15
3.7	SURVEY LINE NUMBERING SYSTEM .....	16
<b>4</b>	<b>DATA VERIFICATION AND FINAL PROCESSING .....</b>	<b>17</b>
4.1	IN FIELD DATA PROCESSING .....	17
4.1.1	<i>Altimeter Data</i> .....	17
4.1.2	<i>Flight Path Data</i> .....	17
4.1.3	<i>Magnetic Data</i> .....	17
4.1.4	<i>Radiometric Data</i> .....	18
4.1.5	<i>Digital Archives</i> .....	19
4.2	FINAL PROCESSING.....	19
4.2.1	<i>Altimeter Data</i> .....	19
4.2.2	<i>Magnetic Data</i> .....	20
4.2.3	<i>Radiometric Data</i> .....	21
4.2.4	<i>Digital Archives</i> .....	24
<b>5</b>	<b>IMAGES.....</b>	<b>25</b>
5.1	TOTAL MAGNETIC INTENSITY IMAGE.....	25

5.2	REDUCED TO POLE FIRST VERTICAL DERIVATIVE IMAGE.....	26
5.3	TOTAL COUNT IMAGE .....	27
<b>6</b>	<b>CONTRACTOR INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>APPENDIX A: FINAL LOCATED DATA FORMAT .....</b>	<b>29</b>
7.1	MAGNETIC DATA .....	29
7.2	RADIOMETRIC DATA .....	31
<b>8</b>	<b>APPENDIX B: HELICOPTER WEEKLY PRODUCTION SUMMARY ....</b>	<b>33</b>

## **1 GENERAL SURVEY INFORMATION**

### **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

On 9<sup>th</sup> September 2007, GPX Airborne flew a helicopter aeromagnetic and radiometric survey for Beaconsfield Gold NL in Beaconsfield. The helicopter was a Eurocopter AS-350D %Squirrel+ owned and operated by Heli Australia. This report summarizes the procedures, details and equipment used by GPX Airborne in the acquisition, verification and processing of the airborne geophysical data.

Client:	Beaconsfield Gold NL
GPX Job Number:	2313
Survey Area:	Beaconsfield
Data Processing Base:	Launceston
Production:	9 <sup>th</sup> September 2007
Line km surveyed:	305 km

### **1.2 SURVEY BRIEF**

On 9<sup>th</sup> September 2007 GPX Airborne flew the survey area in Beaconsfield. Buildings, horses, power lines and other factors prevented the pilot from flying to the edge of the boundary during some lines. During the final processing as much data as possible was retained from the early turnaround.

### **1.3 SURVEY PERSONNEL**

The following personnel were involved on this project:

Operations and Safety Manager:	Bob Blizzard
Project Leader:	Daniel Ting
Technical Support:	Mike Barrett
Operators:	Liam Parry Anthony Jenkinson
Pilot:	L. Garry
Data Processing:	Cathy Car

## 1.4 SURVEY EQUIPMENT

Survey Platform	Eurocopter AS-350D Squirrel (VH-JWD)
Data Acquisition System	Pico Envirotec AGIS PC104 Console
Magnetometer Processor	Pico Envirotec MMS4 Magnetometer Processor
Magnetometer	Scintrex CS3 Cesium Vapour
Spectrometer	Exploranium GR820 (16 Litre Crystal)
Fluxgate Magnetometer	Billingsley TFM100-G2
GPS / DGPS Receiver	CSI DGPSMax
Radar Altimeter	Collins ALT-50A
Magnetic Base Stations	Gem Systems GSM-19W
In-field Computer	Toshiba Notebook
In-field Software	Pico Envirotec PEIView, ChrisDBF



Figure 1: VH-JWD in Launceston

## 1.5 SURVEY PARAMETERS

Line spacing:	50 metres
Line direction:	090° and 270°
Tie line spacing:	500 metres
Tie line direction:	000° and 180°
Sensor height:	60 metres
Magnetometer sample rate:	10 Hz
Spectrometer sample rate:	1 Hz recording 256 channels
Altimeter sample rate:	10 Hz
Base magnetometer sample rate:	1 Hz

## 1.6 SURVEY AREA

The following coordinates are in GDA94 / Map Grid of Australia zone 55 and defines the survey area.

483556mE 5438389mN  
485312mE 5438386mN  
486662mE 5436431mN  
486660mE 5435836mN  
487063mE 5435836mN  
487309mE 5435484mN  
487309mE 5434439mN  
488614mE 5434436mN  
488612mE 5432179mN  
485909mE 5432179mN  
485911mE 5434133mN  
485623mE 5435013mN  
484501mE 5437135mN  
483969mE 5437858mN  
483552mE 5438389mN

### 1.7 FLIGHT PATH IMAGE




Figure 2: Actual flight path of Beaconsfield survey.

## 2 SURVEY EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

### 2.1 DATA ACQUISITION CONSOLE

The Data Acquisition console is a Pico Envirotec AGIS PC104. This is a versatile multi-function system that is capable of operation in many different configurations, depending on platform type, navigation and system requirements. The AGIS PC104 provides the following functions:

- Navigation / flight control
- Data recording
- Display of real-time collected data and status monitoring
- Data retrieval access



Figure 3: Real time monitor and navigation console.

#### 2.1.1 Navigation / Flight Control

The AGIS PC104 is used to guide the aircraft on a pre-defined flight plan that can be generated in UTM or Latitude/Longitude coordinates. The pre-defined flight plan can be designed to file prior to the start of the project, entered or altered in the AGIS system or delineated on-the-fly. Co-ordinates can only be entered in the WGS84 datum system, this has been implemented to avoid confusion and eliminate possible conversion errors. Normal survey altitude and ground speed, with pre-set tolerances are also entered.

The pilot display consisted of a 2-line strip display or more comprehensive Pilot Guidance Unit (PGU). The strip display is driven directly from the AGIS PC104 console; whereas the PGU is a self-contained computer system that is

capable of more demanding navigation functions such as ~~trapezoidal~~ flying using a pre-programmed altitude grid.

The desired flight line is selected from the operator interface, which will either be a keyboard or touch-screen.

### **2.1.2 Data Recording**

The AGIS PC104 relates all acquired data to the instant position from the GPS receiver and records the collected data to three separate data files. The data is recorded in compressed binary format, to a commercial solid-state hard disk.

The flight path file is recorded from AGIS program start-up to shutdown and cannot be turned off by the operator. It contains position, timing, altitude and basic data.

The data file is recorded whenever the acquisition system is ~~on-line~~. It contains all navigation data plus ~~enabled~~ data.

The raw data file, when enabled and supported by the GPS receiver in use, contains raw GPS data necessary for post-flight position correction. It is recorded from AGIS program start-up to shutdown.

### **2.1.3 Display of real-time collected Data and status monitoring**

The AGIS displays flight path and geophysical data as it is acquired aiding the data quality control and real time navigation guidance. The user is presented with graphical representations of the survey area, flight lines, navigation status, and sensor data. The spectra data was also displayed.

Several other status indications are also provided which will either change state indicating a major system malfunction, such as a magnetometer or spectrometer failure, or will change state during normal operation, indicating data being written to a file etc

### **2.1.4 Data Retrieval**

The AGIS PC104 provides facility to transfer the recorded data from the internal solid-state disk to compact flash media immediately following the completion of the survey flight. Recorded data is not deleted from the main disk until this ~~retrieved~~ data has been verified ~~error free~~.

## **2.2 MAGNETOMETER PROCESSOR**

The Magnetometer Processor is a Pico Envirotec MMS4 Magnetometer Processor. This is an advanced frequency-measuring device that can support several continuous signal magnetometers (Cs, He, K). It is a hardware-software designed system, exhibiting simplicity, easy interfacing and substantial versatility. Magnetometer readings are synchronized with the PPS (Pulse Per Second) signal derived from the GPS for accurate timing.

The MMS4 contains 8 channels of analog differential inputs. The first 4 analog channels are sampled synchronously with MMS4 magnetometer at up to 50 samples per second. The remaining 4 analog channels are sampled at 10 samples per second. Analog data is integrated into the magnetometer data stream.

**Specifications:**

Input: Coaxial - Larmour signal over DC Power Supply  
Resolution: 0.0002 nT (Gamma) = 0.2 picoTesla  
Sampling rates: 10, 20, 50 samples per second  
Dynamic range: 15000 to 100000nT  
Synchronization: GPS . PPS (Pulse Per Second)  
Data Storage: Removable Compact Flash Memory

**2.3 MAGNETOMETER SENSOR**

The Magnetometer Sensor is a Scintrex CS3, which employs an optically pumped cesium-vapour atomic magnetic resonance system that functions as the frequency control element in an oscillator circuit.

**Specifications:**

Model: Scintrex CS3  
Type: Cesium Vapour Magnetometer  
Operating Range: 15,000 . 105,000 nT  
Sensitivity: 0.002nT P-P in 0.1-1Hz bandwidth  
Heading Error:  $\pm 0.25$ nT inside the optical axis to the field direction  
angle range 20° to 70° and 110° to 160°  
Output: Larmour frequency, 3.498577 Hz/nT

**2.4 FLUXGATE MAGNETOMETER**

The Fluxgate Magnetometer is a Billingsley Ultra Miniature TFM 100G2. This unit is a low noise, high sensitivity unit, packaged into a compact housing. An analog DC output voltage is produced for each of the measured X, Y and Z orthogonal components of the current magnetic field.

**Specifications:**

Model: Billingsley TFM 100G2  
Axial Alignment: Orthogonality better than  $\pm 1^\circ$   
Sensitivity: 100uV / nT  
Noise: 20pT RMS / Hz @ 1Hz  
Output:  $\pm 100$ uT =  $\pm 10$ V

## 2.5 SPECTROMETER

The Spectrometer is an Exploranium GR820 system. The survey used a single pack crystal which had a volume of detection of 16 litres. The spectrometer employs automatic gain stabilisation control to eliminate the need to heat the detectors. Signal processing automatically perform digital gain control to the individual crystal spectra, ensuring the summed spectrum is stable.

Model:	Exploranium GR820
Sensitivity:	0 . 3.0 MeV
Maximum count rate:	100,000 counts/sec
Detector volume:	16.7 Litres
Detector weight:	83.9 kgs

## 2.6 TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY SENSORS

The Temperature and Humidity transmitter is a Vaisala HMP233. The unit provides both a digital RS232 output and Analogue voltage or current output directly proportional to the measured Temperature and Humidity. The unit is a commercial grade device housed in a rugged aluminium enclosure.

### Specifications:

Model:	HMP233
Humidity Range:	0 . 100% RH
Humidity Accuracy:	±1 %RH (0...90 %RH) ±2 %RH (90...100 %RH)
Temperature Range:	-40 to +80°C
Temperature Accuracy:	± 0.1°C
Analog Output Accuracy:	±0.05 % full scale

## 2.7 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE SENSOR

The Barometric Pressure transmitter is a Vaisala PTB220. The unit provides both a digital RS232 output and Analogue voltage or current output directly proportional to the measured Barometric Pressure. The unit is a Class ~~A~~<sup>+</sup> commercial grade device housed in a rugged aluminium enclosure.

### Specifications:

Model:	PTB220
Range:	500 . 1100 hPa
Resolution:	0.01 hPa
Accuracy at +20°C:	± 0.1 hPa

## 2.8 RADAR ALTIMETER

The Radar Altimeter is a Rockwell Collins ALT-50 two-antenna unit operating at a centre frequency of 4300MHz. The voltage output to the data system is directly proportional to the aircraft flying height with an output characteristic of 20mV/ft up to 500ft, then 10.4V + 3mV/ft above 500ft.

### Specifications:

Model:	Collins ALT-50A Radio Altimeter System
Accuracy:	± 3ft - 0 to 150ft range ± 2% of indicated altitude . 150 to 500ft range ± 3.5% of indicated altitude . 500 to 200ft range
Measurement Rate:	Same rate as magnetometer, 10Hz minimum.

## 2.9 GPS/DGPS RECEIVER

The DGPS receiver is a CSI DGPS MAX, which is a 12-channel combined GPS/DGPS unit. The DGPS MAX is able to use differential corrections received through an internal WAAS demodulator, VLF beacon receiver, or the OmniSTAR DGPS Service.

### Specifications:

Receiver:	CSI DGPS MAX
GPS Position update rate:	5Hz
GPS Input frequency:	L1
Antenna:	Fugro Wideband . Stinger Mounted
DGPS Update rate:	Typically every 6 seconds
DGPS Solution Used:	OmniSTAR VBS

## 2.10 GEM GSM-19W OVERHAUSER MAGNETOMETER

The Earth's diurnal activity was monitored using a GEM GSM-19W Overhauser Magnetometer and sampled at 1 Hz. The portable unit has a built-in GPS receiver.

### Specifications:

Model:	GEM GSM-19W Overhauser
Type:	Overhauser Magnetometer
Resolution:	0.01 nT
Sensitivity:	0.02 nT
Absolute Accuracy:	+/- 0.1nT
Dynamic Range:	10,000 to 120,000 nT
Sampling Rate:	1 hour to 5 Hz
Data Storage:	Internal memory
Data Retrieval:	Up to 115,200bps serial transfer

### 2.10.1 Base Station Locations

The magnetic base station at Launceston was located at

Longitude: 147° 11q32.8+E

Latitude: 41° 32q36.5+S



Figure 4: Sketch of the base station's location at Launceston (image courtesy of Google Earth).

### **3 EQUIPMENT CALIBRATIONS AND DATA ACQUISITION CHECKS**

#### **3.1 DYNAMIC MAGNETOMETER COMPENSATION**

Aircraft compensation tests were flown at high altitude on the 4 survey line headings and also at  $\pm 15^\circ$  to the line headings (to accommodate for cross wind flying conditions). The data for each heading consists of a series of aircraft manoeuvres with large angular excursions: specifically pitches, rolls and yaws. This is done to artificially create the worst possible attitudes and rates of attitudinal change likely to be encountered while on line and compensate for any magnetic noise created by the aircraft's motion within the earth's magnetic field. This data is processed to obtain the REAL TIME COMPENSATION terms of which the aircraft used the standard 17-term model. These terms include permanent, induced and eddy values. These coefficients may be applied in real time or during post processing. Note that this form of compensation will only remove those noise effects modelled in the manoeuvres test flight. External noise sources and random motions of the stinger with respect to the aircraft airframe generally establish the noise floor for this type of installation. The surveyor's goal is to achieve a 4th difference noise level on the order of 0.01nT RMS during normal surveying conditions. In general, this noise level was routinely achieved or bettered as a matter of course.

#### **3.2 HEADING ERROR CHECK**

Historically, heading error checks have been an essential part of the aeromagnetic data acquisition procedure but their importance now has diminished. GPX Airborne now corrects for these effects using the dynamic aircraft magnetic compensation system and specially developed software. In the past, repeatable heading errors of less than one nanotesla (1.0 nT) were considered good. Dynamic compensation typically yields heading errors in the order of 0.1 to 0.3 nT, which are effectively eliminated by modern data levelling techniques.

#### **3.3 SYSTEM PARALLAX TESTS**

One of the processing parameters required to process digital data was the parallax or offset time, between the time the digital reading was taken by the instrument and the time the position fix for the fiducial of the reading was obtained. Each instrument - magnetometer, altimeter - may have a different parallax, so the parallax must be computed for each instrument.

The parallax correction derived is the correction to be applied to each survey line. A positive parallax indicates the instrument reading is ahead of the position of the fiducial. Each integer fiducial represents one second so the parallax can be expressed in either fiducial or seconds.

The correct fiducial is computed by:

$$\text{Parallax corrected fid} = \text{Fid for recorded reading} - \text{Instrument parallax}$$

The following table summarises the parallax test.

<b>Data</b>	<b>Parallax applied (seconds)</b>
GPS Position	2.5
Magnetic data	1.5
Radiometric data	0.0

**Table 1: Summary of parallax test.**

### **3.4 ALTIMETER CALIBRATIONS**

The height of the aircraft above ground is recorded by a radar altimeter as a voltage every 0.1 second. The voltage data is converted to height via a lookup table determined by calibration with the GPS altitude.

### **3.5 RADIOMETRIC PRE SURVEY CALIBRATIONS**

Pre-survey gamma-ray spectrometer calibration results are summarised below.

The calibration methods are as generally described by Grasty and Minty (1995).

<b>VH-JWD</b>	<b>Window</b>	<b>Value</b>
Aircraft Background	TC	81.67
	K	23.35
	U	0.59
	Th	0.67
Cosmic Background	TC	0.811201
	K	0.044663
	U	0.038646
	Th	0.043791
Stripping	Alpha	0.2540
	Beta	0.5145
	Gamma	0.7997
	a	0.0692
Height Attenuation	TC	0.009414
	K	0.012094
	U	0.009558
	Th	0.009178

**Table 2: Gamma-ray spectrometer calibration summary**

### **3.6 TIME SYNCHRONIZATION**

The magnetic base station is synchronised to GPS time so there is no time drift in the system.

### **3.7 SURVEY LINE NUMBERING SYSTEM**

The first digit in any line number represents the area number, i.e. 100050 is area no. 1.

The next four numbers are the line number it self, i.e. 101030 is line number 103.

All Tie lines begin with the digit 7, i.e. 170020.

The sixth digits of any line number represent the attempt number, i.e. 100010 is the first attempt.

## **4 DATA VERIFICATION AND FINAL PROCESSING**

### **4.1 IN FIELD DATA PROCESSING**

All data verification and preliminary processing and map production was conducted at the field office using a Toshiba Notebook computer. ChrisDBF was the primary field quality control software.

At the conclusion of each days survey all magnetic, radiometric, altimeter, flight path and diurnal data was transferred via compact flash memory onto the office computer for preliminary data verification.

#### **4.1.1 Altimeter Data**

##### **Radar Altimeter Data**

The radar altimeter is verified to check that a reasonably constant height above the terrain specified in section 1.5 was flown; readings during the course of the survey did not exceed the specified tolerances. The radar altimeter data is used in the production of digital terrain maps.

##### **GPS Height Data**

The aircraft's height above mean sea level each second was determined by data from the post-processed GPS. The GPS height of the aircraft is verified to check for data masking and for equipment reliability. The GPS height data is used in the production of digital terrain maps.

##### **Digital Terrain Data**

After verification the radar altimeter height was subtracted from the GPS height to give the elevation of the terrain above mean sea level.

##### **Gridding and Inspection**

The digital terrain data was gridded and grid image enhancements were computed and displayed on screen. These were viewed also with the aid of crossline sun angles and inspected for inconsistencies and errors and appropriate corrections were made if required.

#### **4.1.2 Flight Path Data**

The flight path is plotted daily to ensure it was within survey specifications. Any data not within specification was re-flown. The aircraft GPS recorded the data in the WGS84 datum.

#### **4.1.3 Magnetic Data**

The raw un-edited magnetic data was checked to identify noise and spikes. Single reading spikes were manually edited and if the noise exceeded the contract specifications, the line was re-flown.

### **Magnetic Diurnal Data**

Diurnal data recorded every 0.1 second from the primary base station was down loaded from the magnetometer's memory onto the field processing computer via compact flash. The diurnal data was then checked and corrected for spikes. Single reading spikes were manually edited and multiple erroneous readings flagged as invalid. If invalid diurnal data occurred whilst survey data was being acquired the affected section was re-flown. The diurnal data was also checked to see that the change in diurnal readings during the course of the survey did not exceed the specified tolerances. When this occurred the affected survey lines were re-flown. The diurnal data was merged with the aircraft data and used in the verification of the magnetic data.

### **Diurnal Correction**

The synchronized digital diurnal data collected by the base station was first subtracted from the corresponding airborne magnetic readings to calculate a difference. The resultant difference was then subtracted from the base value to produce diurnally corrected magnetic data.

### **Parallax Correction**

The aircraft system parallax is also checked prior to project commencement. A parallax error correction of 0.0 second was used for in field verification.

### **Gridding and Inspection**

The magnetic data was gridded and grid image enhancements were computed and displayed on screen. These were also viewed with the aid of crossline sun angles and inspected for inconsistencies and errors and appropriate corrections were made if required.

#### **4.1.4 Radiometric Data**

### **Spectra Verification**

The 256-channel radiometric data is viewed to confirm that the spectra peaks are correctly calibrated. The following peak locations are checked daily.

- Potassium 1460 keV
- Uranium 1760 keV
- Thorium 2614 keV

### **Parallax Correction**

The aircraft system parallax is also checked prior to project commencement. A parallax error correction of 0.0 second was used for in field verification.

### **Gridding and Inspection**

The radiometric data was gridded and grid image enhancements were computed and displayed on screen. These were also viewed with the aid of crossline sun angles and inspected for inconsistencies and errors and appropriate corrections were made if required.

#### **4.1.5 Digital Archives**

All raw aircraft, and diurnal base data were backed up on CD-ROM disk at the end of each days survey. A further backup of all raw and edited data remained on the field-processing computer for the entire duration of the project. A copy of each days flying was transferred to the company's ftp site for further verification.

### **4.2 FINAL PROCESSING**

All final data processing of the data was performed in the offices of GPX Airborne. Raw field data was transferred to the offices and processed to produce the final data. No field-processed data was used in the making of the final data. The final processing of the data follows the same quality control checks that are made in the field, however the final data has additional processes performed.

#### **4.2.1 Altimeter Data**

##### **Radar Altimeter Data**

The radar altimeter is verified to check that a reasonably constant height above the terrain specified in section 1.7 was flown; readings during the course of the survey did not exceed the specified tolerances. The radar altimeter data is used in the production of digital terrain maps.

##### **GPS Height Data**

The aircraft's height above mean sea level each second was determined by data from the post-processed GPS. The GPS height of the aircraft is verified to check for data masking and for equipment reliability. The GPS height data is used in the production of digital terrain maps.

##### **Parallax Correction**

A parallax error correction as described in section 3.3 was applied to the coordinate data.

##### **Tie Line Levelling**

A crossover program was used to compute the height difference between each tie line and the traverse line intersection. These differences were then applied to level the traverse lines to the tie lines.

##### **Micro Levelling**

Micro levelling was used to remove residual differences with a long wavelength along line and short wavelength across line. Application of the micro levelling process removed the streaks that were sometimes visible when using various grid enhancements.

##### **Digital Terrain Data**

After verification the radar altimeter height was subtracted from the GPS height and the Geoid . Ellipsoid separation correction applied to give the elevation of the terrain above mean sea level.

### **Gridding and Inspection**

The digital terrain data was gridded and grid image enhancements were computed and displayed on screen. These were viewed also with the aid of crossline sun angles and inspected for inconsistencies and errors and appropriate corrections were made if required.

#### **4.2.2 Magnetic Data**

The raw un-edited magnetic data was checked to identify noise and spikes. Single reading spikes were manually edited.

#### **Magnetic Diurnal Data**

The diurnal data was then checked and corrected for spikes. Single reading spikes were manually edited and multiple erroneous readings flagged as invalid.

#### **Diurnal Correction**

The synchronized digital diurnal data collected by the base station was first subtracted from the corresponding airborne magnetic readings to calculate a difference. The resultant difference was then subtracted from the base value to produce diurnally corrected magnetic data.

#### **Parallax Correction**

A parallax error correction as described in section 3.3 was applied to the coordinate data.

#### **IGRF correction**

The magnetics data has been corrected for the regional gradient by subtracting the calculated IGRF (2005 model) computed continuously over the whole area. The calculation of these corrections used the GPS flying height.

#### **Tie Line Levelling**

A crossover program was used to compute the magnetic difference between each tie line and the traverse line intersection. These differences were then applied to level the traverse lines to the tie lines.

#### **Micro Levelling**

Micro levelling was used to remove residual differences with a long wavelength along line and short wavelength across line. Application of the micro levelling process removed the streaks that were sometimes visible when using various grid enhancements.

### **Gridding and Inspection**

The magnetic data was gridded and grid image enhancements were computed and displayed on screen. These were also viewed with the aid of crossline sun angles and inspected for inconsistencies and errors and appropriate corrections were made if required.

### 4.2.3 Radiometric Data

#### IAEA Processing

The processing of the radiometric data is summarised below.

1. Apply the deadtime correction.
2. Energy recalibrate the 256 channel spectra and re-window the data.
3. Noise Adjusted Singular Value Decomposition (NASVD).
4. Remove spikes from the altimeter, temperature and pressure values.
5. Correct radiometric data to standard temperature and pressure.
6. Remove the aircraft background, apply the cosmic correction, remove radon, apply the stripping values and finally apply the height correction.

#### Deadtime correction

The GR-820 spectrometer requires a finite time to process each pulse from the detectors. The deadtime of the GR-820 is less than 5 microseconds per detector and this correction was applied.

#### Energy Recalibration

Spectra analysis was performed on each line of data and the position of the thorium and potassium peak positions determined and compared to their theoretical positions. The original spectra data was then mapped to the correct peak positions and new windowed data created for each of the standard IAEA windows as follows.

Window	Peak Energy (KeV)	Energy Window (KeV)		
Total Count		410	-	2810
Potassium	1460	1370	-	1570
Uranium	1760	1660	-	1860
Thorium	2615	2410	-	2810
Cosmic		3000		

#### 256 Channel Noise Reduction

The two most common processing methods are:

1. Noise adjusted Singular Value Decomposition (NASVD). This was developed specifically for radiometric processing.
2. Maximum Noise Fraction (MNF). This was developed for removing noise from satellite images and subsequently used in radiometric processing.

Both methods use Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with the only difference being in the estimation of noise in the raw spectra and subsequent scaling before PCA.

We have implemented and extensively used both methods but prefer NASVD because it is simpler, requires one less pass of the data and less observations

for a good join when adjacent data sets are merged. However the 2 methods give almost the same result and both work well.

Careful analysis of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the PCA is required to ensure the process has worked correctly. We use the 7 most significant principal components to reduce the data with the remainder considered to be noise. If this is not the case, as seen from eigenvalue and eigenvector plots, then there is a problem with the data. So this is an excellent quality control tool as well as a noise reduction method. There are strong theoretical reasons for this approach and if less than 7 components are used some signal is likely to be removed. On large surveys we have found it is best to use 7 components globally rather than having to make difficult decisions for different segments of the survey as this provides a globally consistent result.

As final proof the method has worked correctly, residual line profiles and images of potassium, uranium and thorium must confirm that no signal is present. Also the ternary potassium, uranium and thorium image must be sharp. If signal has been removed this image will be blurred.

### **Standard Temperature and Pressure correction**

The data was converted to effective altitude at standard temperature and pressure (STP) using the expression:

$$Alt(STP) = BA \times \left( \frac{P}{1013} \right) \times \frac{273}{(T + 273)}$$

Alt(STP) = Effective altitude at STP

BA = Barometric Altitude

P = Pressure

T = Temperature in °C

### **Cosmic Correction**

The aircraft background radiation was removed by subtracting the aircraft background values from the Total Count, Potassium, Uranium and Thorium windows. The effect of cosmic radiation was removed from each window by multiplying the cosmic channel by the cosmic stripping factor for each window and subtracting the result from the window data.

### **Radon Background Removal**

Although in the past upward looking crystals have often been used as an acceptable method of correcting for the effects of atmospheric radon in airborne radiometric surveys, we now consider this method to be inadequate to provide the data quality required by modern processing techniques for the following reasons.

The crystal volume of the upward looking detectors is usually small relative to that of the primary downward looking detectors (typically 1 or 2 upward detectors for 4 downward detectors). For this reason, noise dominates signal in the spectra obtained from the upward looking detectors. Consequently the ability of these detectors to accurately reflect coincident (i.e. non geological)

responses in the primary detectors is severely compromised. The low signal to noise ratio has the added affect of compromising spectral calibration of the upward looking detectors, further eroding their ability as indicators of coincident events.

More importantly, the very nature of airborne radon distribution renders this method inherently inaccurate, as atmospheric radon does not exist in uniform distributions but rather in radon clouds that have a distinctly non uniform density distribution. Sensors receiving signal from different directions will therefore detect different radon responses.

Another problem with upward looking crystals is preventing contamination effects from ground signal. This is especially a problem for low level surveys or for areas with significant terrain variation where aircraft attitude movements will allow ground signal to be recorded by the upward looking crystals.

It is clearly evident that any effective radon correction method should use the downward looking crystals only.

For this reason we prefer to use the spectral ratio method for radon removal. This method uses the 352 keV uranium peak as a substitute for upward crystals. The only time upward crystals may be needed is where cesium contamination affects the use of the 352 keV uranium peak. The use of the low energy uranium peak at 352 keV instead of the 609 keV uranium peak should make even this use of upward crystals redundant. The 352 keV uranium peak is an extremely good detector of radon gas because very little radiation from the ground will reach the aircraft at this low energy. Also the thorium peak close to the 352 keV peak has much less intensity than the thorium peak close to the 609 keV uranium peak.

### **Stripping**

The radiometric spectra of potassium (K), uranium (U) and thorium (Th) series overlap. To evaluate of any one spectral window, which is designed to detect one radioelement, requires removal of the spectral overlap. This process of removal of the spectral overlap is known as stripping. The stripping procedure uses spectral stripping ratios determined experimentally using concrete calibration pads of known K, U and Th concentration.

### **Parallax Correction**

A parallax error correction of 0.0 seconds was applied to the radiometric data.

### **Tie Line Levelling**

A crossover program was used to compute the radiometric difference between each tie line and the traverse line intersection. These differences were then applied to level the traverse lines to the tie lines.

### **Micro Levelling**

Micro levelling was used to remove residual differences with a long wavelength along line and short wavelength across line. Application of the

micro levelling process removed the streaks that were sometimes visible when using various grid enhancements.

### **Gridding and Inspection**


The radiometric data was gridded and grid image enhancements were computed and displayed on screen. These were also viewed with the aid of crossline sun angles and inspected for inconsistencies and errors and appropriate corrections were made if required.

#### **4.2.4 Digital Archives**


The final digital data was written out as a flat ASCII located data file. The format and channel description can be found in Appendix A. Grids of the final data were created in ERMapper format.

## 5 IMAGES


### 5.1 TOTAL MAGNETIC INTENSITY IMAGE



## 5.2 REDUCED TO POLE FIRST VERTICAL DERIVATIVE IMAGE



### 5.3 TOTAL COUNT IMAGE



## 6 CONTRACTOR INFORMATION



### **GPX Airborne**

**Locked Bag 3, Applecross,  
Western Australia. 6153**

**Telephone: +618 9316 8111  
Fax: +618 9316 8033**

**Web: <http://www.gpxair.com.au/>**

## 7 APPENDIX A: FINAL LOCATED DATA FORMAT

### 7.1 MAGNETIC DATA

#### GENERAL

Project 2313  
 Survey area Beaconsfield  
 Located data type 0.1 Second Final Data  
  
 Surveyed by GPX AIRBORNE PTY LTD.  
 Job number 2313  
 Processed by GPX AIRBORNE PTY LTD.  
 Creation date October 2007

#### SURVEY SPECIFICATIONS

Survey flown September 2007  
 Traverse line spacing 50 metres  
 Traverse line direction 090-270 degrees  
 Tie line spacing 500 metres  
 Tie line direction 000-180 degrees  
  
 Survey height 50 metres

#### LOCATED DATA FORMAT

Variable	Units	Undefined	From	To	Format
Line number		9999999	1	8	I8
Easting (Transverse Mercator)	metres	9999999.99	9	19	F11.2
Northing (Transverse Mercator)	metres	9999999.99	20	30	F11.2
Fiducial		99999.99	31	39	F9.2
Flight number		999	40	43	I4
Direction (1=E, 2=N, 3=W, 4=S)		9	44	45	I2
Date (YYYYMMDD)		99999999	46	54	I9
Time (GPS)	seconds	99999.99	55	63	F9.2
Longitude (GDA94)	degrees	999.999999	64	74	F11.6
Latitude (GDA94)	degrees	999.999999	75	85	F11.6
Radar altimeter	metres	9999.9	86	92	F7.1
GPS altitude	metres	9999.9	93	99	F7.1
Raw magnetics	nT	99999.999	100	109	F10.3
Post compensated magnetics	nT	99999.999	110	119	F10.3
Diurnal	nT	99999.999	120	129	F10.3
Final magnetics	nT	99999.999	130	139	F10.3
Pressure	millibars	9999.9	140	146	F7.1
Temperature	degrees C	99.9	147	151	F5.1
Raw total count	cps	999999	152	158	F7.0
Raw potassium	cps	9999	159	163	F5.0
Raw uranium	cps	9999	164	168	F5.0
Raw thorium	cps	9999	169	173	F5.0
Raw cosmic	cps	99999.9	174	178	F5.0
Final total count	cps	99999.99	179	187	F9.2
Final potassium	cps	99999.99	188	196	F9.2
Final uranium	cps	99999.99	197	205	F9.2
Final thorium	cps	99999.99	206	214	F9.2
Final DTM	metres	99999.9	215	222	F8.1

#### DATA PROCESSING

COORDINATE DATA

All lines are scissored to the following rules:

- 1) A 'smooth' edge outside the area boundary.
- 2) Maximum line overlap of 0 fiducials within the area boundary.

The local projection is a UTM projection based on the GDA94 spheroid with a central meridian of 147 East degrees. System parallax of 2.5 fiducial has been removed.

MAGNETIC DATA

The magnetic data has been corrected for regional gradient by subtraction of IGRF model 2005 computed continuously over the whole area based on the GPS height.

Diurnal magnetic variations have been removed.

System parallax of 1.5 fiducial has been removed.

Tie-line levelling has been applied.

Microlevelling has been applied.

A base value of 61320 nT has been added to the data.

RADIOMETRIC DATA

Raw channel data provided has been energy calibrated  
 NASVD has been applied to channel data prior to windowing  
 System parallax of 0.0 fiducial has been removed.  
 Height attenuated to 50m AGL  
 Airborne radon has been removed

AIRCRAFT BACKGROUND

		UNITS
Total Count	81.67	cps
Potassium	23.35	cps
Uranium	0.59	cps
Thorium	0.67	cps

COSMIC STRIPPING RATIOS

Total Count	0.811201
Potassium	0.044663
Uranium	0.038646
Thorium	0.043791

COMPTON STRIPPING RATIOS

alpha	0.2540
beta	0.5145
gamma	0.7997
a	0.0692

HEIGHT ATTENUATION COEFFICIENT

Total Count	0.009414	per metre
Potassium	0.012094	per metre
Uranium	0.009558	per metre
Thorium	0.009178	per metre

WINDOW ENERGY LEVELS

	Low Energy	High Energy	
Total Count	410.0	2810.0	keV
Potassium	1370.0	1570.0	keV
Uranium	1660.0	1860.0	keV
Thorium	2410.0	2810.0	keV

DIGITAL TERRAIN MODEL DATA

DIGITAL TERRAIN MODEL CALCULATION

The radar altimeter data was subtracted from the GPS heights to provide a digital elevation model which is height above the WGS84 spheroid. Using interpolation on the 120 second DMA Geoid model, a correction was computed and subtracted from the WGS84 data to convert to height above the geoid.

DATA RELIABILITY

This Digital Terrain Model (DTM) has been computed from data generated during the course of an airborne geophysical survey flown at a nominal spacing of 50m and data has been interpolated between such lines. Every effort has been made to make this model a useful general reference. No guarantee can be made that this model is a true representation of height above sea level as it can contain radar altimeter responses from buildings and in some instances dense timber. Users of the product should be aware of the topographic limitations mapped herewithin. Do not use this DTM for navigation purposes.

**7.2 RADIOMETRIC DATA**

GENERAL

Project 2313  
 Survey area Beaconsfield  
 Located data type 1 Second Radiometric Data

Surveyed by GPX AIRBORNE PTY LTD.  
 Job number 2313  
 Processed by GPX AIRBORNE PTY LTD.  
 Creation date October 2007

SURVEY SPECIFICATIONS

Survey flown September 2007  
 Traverse line spacing 50 metres  
 Traverse line direction 090-270 degrees  
 Tie line spacing 500 metres  
 Tie line direction 000-180 degrees

Survey height 50 metres

LOCATED DATA FORMAT

Variable	Units	Undefined	From	To	Format
Line number		9999999	1	8	I8
Easting (Transverse Mercator)	metres	9999999.99	9	19	F11.2
Northing (Transverse Mercator)	metres	9999999.99	20	30	F11.2
Fiducial		99999.99	31	39	F9.2
Flight number		999	40	43	I4
Direction (1=E, 2=N, 3=W, 4=S)		9	44	45	I2
Date (YYYYMMDD)		99999999	46	54	I9
Time (GPS)	seconds	99999.99	55	63	F9.2
Longitude (GDA94)	degrees	999.999999	64	74	F11.6
Latitude (GDA94)	degrees	999.999999	75	85	F11.6
Radar altimeter	metres	9999.9	86	92	F7.1
Pressure	millibars	9999.9	93	99	F7.1
Temperature	degrees C	99.9	100	104	F5.1
Raw total count	cps	999999	105	111	F7.0
Raw potassium	cps	9999	112	116	F5.0
Raw uranium	cps	9999	117	121	F5.0
Raw thorium	cps	9999	122	126	F5.0
Raw cosmic	cps	99999.9	127	131	F5.0
Final total count	cps	99999.99	132	140	F9.2
Final potassium	cps	99999.99	141	149	F9.2
Final uranium	cps	99999.99	150	158	F9.2
Final thorium	cps	99999.99	159	167	F9.2

Job 2313, Beaconsfield Gold NL, Airborne Geophysical Survey, Beaconsfield

Raw 256 channel data	cps	999	168	1191	I4
Energy calibrated 256 channel	cps	9999.9	1192	2983	F7.1

DATA PROCESSING

COORDINATE DATA

All lines are scissored to the following rules:

- 1) A 'smooth' edge outside the area boundary.
- 2) Maximum line overlap of 0 fiducials within the area boundary.

The local projection is a UTM projection based on the GDA94 spheroid with a central meridian of 147 East degrees. System parallax of 2.5 fiducial has been removed.

RADIOMETRIC DATA

NASVD has been applied to channel data prior to windowing  
 System parallax of 0.0 fiducial has been removed.  
 Height attenuated to 50m AGL  
 Airborne radon has been removed

AIRCRAFT BACKGROUND		UNITS	
Total Count	81.67	cps	
Potassium	23.35	cps	
Uranium	0.59	cps	
Thorium	0.67	cps	
COSMIC STRIPPING RATIOS			
Total Count	0.811201		
Potassium	0.044663		
Uranium	0.038646		
Thorium	0.043791		
COMPTON STRIPPING RATIOS			
alpha	0.2540		
beta	0.5145		
gamma	0.7997		
a	0.0692		
HEIGHT ATTENUATION COEFFICIENT			
Total Count	0.009414	per metre	
Potassium	0.012094	per metre	
Uranium	0.009558	per metre	
Thorium	0.009178	per metre	
WINDOW ENERGY LEVELS			
	Low Energy	High Energy	
Total Count	410.0	2810.0	keV
Potassium	1370.0	1570.0	keV
Uranium	1660.0	1860.0	keV
Thorium	2410.0	2810.0	keV

### 8 APPENDIX B: HELICOPTER WEEKLY PRODUCTION SUMMARY

GPX Airborne		GPX Airborne - WEEKLY SUMMARY REPORT																	
Client	GPX Air Job No.	Area(s)	Job Name			Flying Base		Aircraft Type (s)		Crew Contact Phone No		Crew Contact Sat No							
Beaconsfield	2313	NE Tas	Beaconsfield			Launceston		AS-350D		+61 (0)432 423 385		+881621462769							
Aircraft:	VHJWD	AOM	Bob Blizzard		FPM	D. Ting	Field Operator		Liam Parry	A. Jenkinson	Pilots		L.Gary						
Kilometres										Aircraft Time									
Date	Fit	Prod	Scrub	Reflight	Total Planned	Flown to date	Remain	Prod	Scrub	Turns	Ferry	Cals Daily	Cals Setup	Mob	Total	Hrs to 100 Hr	Standby Hrs	Reason	
Mon	03/09/07	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		
Tue	04/09/07	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		
Wed	05/09/07	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		
Thu	06/09/07	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		
Fri	07/09/07	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		
Sat	08/09/07	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.9	0.0		
Sun	09/09/07	01/02	305.2	0.0	0.0	305.2	305.2	0.0	3.3	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	34.6	0.0		
<b>Totals:</b>			305.2	0.0	0.0	305.2	305.2	0.0	3.3	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	34.6	0.0		
Chargeable Lost Time (Hrs):					Non-Chargeable Lost Time (Hrs):														
Date	Julian Day	GPX Crew	Other Crew	Accom' (Rooms)	Vehicles	Aircraft Maint' Hrs		Equip Maint' Hrs		General / Processing / QC Comments									
Mon	03/09/07	246																	
Tue	04/09/07	247																	
Wed	05/09/07	248																	
Thu	06/09/07	249																	
Fri	07/09/07	250																	
Sat	08/09/07	251																	
Sun	9/09/07	252	3	1	4	2					2 Production flights								TBM
<b>Totals:</b>							0.0	0.0											
<b>KEY:</b>										= Safety Meeting (SM) / Toolbox Meeting (TBM) check box. Enter numbers/data into blue marked sections only. All areas marked in black are self calculating & should not be changed. Ferry = operations base to survey area & return times. Mob = (Mob/Demob) initial flying time to project & from project. Aircraft/Equipment Maint': S = scheduled / U = unscheduled. General/Processing/QC Comments should include basic weather description.									