

000

058001

69-581.

(13)

Q28

REPORT ON THE
INDUCED POLARIZATION
AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY
IN THE
NATONE AREA OF TASMANIA
FOR
MINOPS PTY. LTD.

MICROFILMED

CONTENTS

FIGURE A – METHOD USED IN PLOTTING DIPOLE – DIPOLE INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY RESULTS

APPENDIX: THE INTERPRETATION OF INDUCED POLARIZATION ANOMALIES FROM RELATIVELY SMALL SOURCES

FIGURE 1 – FIGURE 6: THEORETICAL INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY STUDIES – SCALE MODEL CASES

DWG I.P. 5341-1 – DWG I.P. 5341-25: INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

DWG I.P.P. 4536 – INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY - PLAN MAP

NATONE COMPOSITE PLAN

McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

NOTES ON THE THEORY, METHOD OF FIELD OPERATION, AND PRESENTATION OF DATA FOR THE INDUCED POLARIZATION METHOD

Induced Polarization as a geophysical measurement refers to the blocking action or polarization of metallic or electronic conductors in a medium of ionic solution conduction.

This electro-chemical phenomenon occurs wherever electrical current is passed through an area which contains metallic minerals such as base metal sulphides. Normally, when current is passed through the ground, as in resistivity measurements, all of the conduction takes place through ions present in the water content of the rock, or soil, i. e. by ionic conduction. This is because almost all minerals have a much higher specific resistivity than ground water. The group of minerals commonly described as "metallic", however, have specific resistivities much lower than ground waters. The induced polarization effect takes place at those interfaces where the mode of conduction changes from ionic in the solutions filling the interstices of the rock to electronic in the metallic minerals present

in the rock.

The blocking action or induced polarization mentioned above, which depends upon the chemical energies necessary to allow the ions to give up or receive electrons from the metallic surface, increases with the time that a d. c. current is allowed to flow through the rock; i. e. as ions pile up against the metallic interface the resistance to current flow increases. Eventually, there is enough polarization in the form of excess ions at the interfaces, to appreciably reduce the amount of current flow through the metallic particle. This polarization takes place at each of the infinite number of solution-metal interfaces in a mineralized rock.

When the d. c. voltage used to create this d. c. current flow is cut off, the Coulomb forces between the charged ions forming the polarization cause them to return to their normal position. This movement of charge creates a small current flow which can be measured on the surface of the ground as a decaying potential difference.

From an alternate viewpoint it can be seen that if the direction of the current through the system is reversed repeatedly before the polarization occurs, the effective resistivity of the system as a whole will change as the frequency of the switching is changed. This is a consequence of the fact that the amount of current flowing through each metallic interface depends upon the length of time that current has been passing through it in one direction.

003

058005

The values of the per cent frequency effect or F. E. are a measurement of the polarization in the rock mass. However, since the measurement of the degree of polarization is related to the apparent resistivity of the rock mass it is found that the metal factor values or M. F. are the most useful values in determining the amount of polarization present in the rock mass. The MF values are obtained by normalizing the F. E. values for varying resistivities.

The induced polarization measurement is perhaps the most powerful geophysical method for the direct detection of metallic sulphide mineralization, even when this mineralization is of very low concentration. The lower limit of volume per cent sulphide necessary to produce a recognizable IP anomaly will vary with the geometry and geologic environment of the source, and the method of executing the survey. However, sulphide mineralization of less than one per cent by volume has been detected by the IP method under proper geological conditions.

The greatest application of the IP method has been in the search for disseminated metallic sulphides of less than 20% by volume. However, it has also been used successfully in the search for massive sulphides in situations where, due to source geometry, depth of source, or low resistivity of surface layer, the EM method can not be successfully applied. The ability to differentiate ionic conductors, such as water filled shear zones, makes the IP method a useful tool in checking EM

004

anomalies which are suspected of being due to these causes.

In normal field applications the IP method does not differentiate between the economically important metallic minerals such as chalcopyrite, chalcocite, molybdenite, galena, etc., and the other metallic minerals such as pyrite. The induced polarization effect is due to the total of all electronic conducting minerals in the rock mass. Other electronic conducting materials which can produce an IP response are magnetite, pyrolusite, graphite, and some forms of hematite.

In the field procedure, measurements on the surface are made in a way that allows the effects of lateral changes in the properties of the ground to be separated from the effects of vertical changes in the properties. Current is applied to the ground at two points in distance (X) apart. The potentials are measured at two other points (X) feet apart, in line with the current electrodes is an integer number (n) times the basic distance (X).

The measurements are made along a surveyed line, with a constant distance (nX) between the nearest current and potential electrodes. In most surveys, several traverses are made with various values of (n); i. e. (n) = 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. The kind of survey required (detailed or reconnaissance) decides the number of values of (n) used.

In plotting the results, the values of the apparent resistivity, apparent per cent frequency effect, and the apparent metal factor

measured for each set of electrode positions are plotted at the intersection of grid lines, one from the center point of the current electrodes and the other from the center point of the potential electrodes. (See Figure A.) The resistivity values are plotted above the line as a mirror image of the metal factor values below. On a second line, below the metal factor values, are plotted the values of the per cent frequency effect. In some cases the values of per cent frequency effect are plotted as superscripts of the metal factor value. In this second case the frequency effect values are not contoured. The lateral displacement of a given value is determined by the location along the survey line of the center point between the current and potential electrodes. The distance of the value from the line is determined by the distance (nX) between the current and potential electrodes when the measurement was made.

The separation between sender and receiver electrodes is only one factor which determines the depth to which the ground is being sampled in any particular measurement. The plots then, when contoured, are not section maps of the electrical properties of the ground under the survey line. The interpretation of the results from any given survey must be carried out using the combined experience gained from field results, model study results and theoretical investigations. The position of the electrodes when anomalous values are measured is important in the interpretation.

In the field procedure, the interval over which the potential differences are measured is the same as the interval over which the electrodes are moved after a series of potential readings has been made. One of the advantages of the induced polarization method is that the same equipment can be used for both detailed and reconnaissance surveys merely by changing the distance (X) over which the electrodes are moved each time. In the past, intervals have been used ranging from 25 feet to 2000 feet for (X). In each case, the decision as to the distance (X) and the values of (n) to be used is largely determined by the expected size of the mineral deposit being sought, the size of the expected anomaly and the speed with which it is desired to progress.

The diagram in Figure A demonstrates the method used in plotting the results. Each value of the apparent resistivity, apparent metal factor, and apparent per cent frequency effect is plotted and identified by the position of the four electrodes when the measurement was made. It can be seen that the values measured for the larger values of (n) are plotted farther from the line indicating that the thickness of the layer of the earth that is being tested is greater than for the smaller values of (n); i. e. the depth of the measurement is increased. When the F. E. values are plotted as superscripts to the MF values the third section of data values is not presented and the F. E. values are not contoured.

007

The actual data plots included with the report are prepared utilizing an IBM 360/75 Computer and a Calcomp 770/763 Incremental Plotting System. The data values are calculated, plotted, and contoured according to a programme developed by McPhar Geophysics. Certain symbols have been incorporated into the programme to explain various situations in recording the data in the field.

The IP measurement is basically obtained by measuring the difference in potential or voltage (ΔV) obtained at two operating frequencies. The voltage is the product of the current through the ground and the apparent resistivity of the ground. Therefore in field situations where the current is very low due to poor electrode contact, or the apparent resistivity is very low, or a combination of the two effects; the value of (ΔV) the change in potential will be too small to be measurable. The symbol "TL" on the data plots indicates this situation.

In some situations spurious noise, either man made or natural, will render it impossible to obtain a reading. The symbol "N" on the data plots indicates a station at which it is too noisy to record a reading. If a reading can be obtained, but for reasons of noise there is some doubt as to its accuracy, the reading is bracketed in the data plot ().

In certain situations negative values of Apparent Frequency Effect are recorded. This may be due to the geologic environment or spurious electrical effects. The actual negative frequency effect value recorded is indicated on the data plot, however the symbol "NEG" is


indicated for the corresponding value of Apparent Metal Factor. In contouring negative values the contour lines are indicated to the nearest positive value in the immediate vicinity of the negative value.

The symbol "NR" indicates that for some reason the operator did not attempt to record a reading although normal survey procedures would suggest that one was required. This may be due to inaccessible topography or other similar reasons. Any symbol other than those discussed above is unique to a particular situation and is described within the body of the report.

009


058011

METHOD USED IN PLOTTING DIPOLE-DIPOLE INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY RESULTS



Stations on line

x = Electrode spread length
 n = Electrode separation



5 cm

Fig. A

McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

REPORT ON THE INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY IN THE NATONE AREA OF TASMANIA FOR MINOPS PTY. LTD.

1. INTRODUCTION

At the request of Minops Proprietary Ltd., an induced polarization and resistivity survey has been carried out on the company's Natone project in N.W. Tasmania.

Iron ore deposits have been known to exist in the area since 1919. The magnetic surveys found several anomalies and several locations were suggested for diamond drill holes to test the anomalies. Two holes were drilled; the sulphides chalcopyrite and pyrrhotite were found in association with pyrite, magnetite, limonite and hematite.

With the discovery of the sulphides, the anomalies took on an added interest, and the induced polarization and resistivity survey was planned to test the magnetic anomalies and to try to find economic concentrations of ore. (In 1959 a government aeromagnetic survey was carried out and this was followed up in 1959 by a ground magnetic survey).

The deposits occur in Precambrian sedimentary rocks, sharply folded and faulted into anticlines and synclines with a northeasterly trend.

011

The sediments are unconformably overlain by Ordovician conglomerates and intruded by Devonian granites. The intrusive granites are considered to be genetically related to the iron deposits. All of these rock units have been covered by basalt flows of Tertiary age.

The iron deposits are mainly hematite with some magnetite and appear to be connected with a fracture or shear zone striking northeast.

2. PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

The induced polarization and resistivity results are shown on the following enclosed data plots. The results are plotted in the manner described in the notes preceding this report.

<u>Line</u>	<u>Electrode Intervals</u>	<u>Dwg. No.</u>
10+00S	200 feet	IP 5341-1
10+00S	100 feet	IP 5341-2
12+50S	200 feet	IP 5341-3
12+50S	100 feet	IP 5341-4
15+00S	200 feet	IP 5341-5
15+00S	100 feet	IP 5341-6
17+50S	200 feet	IP 5341-7
17+50S	100 feet	IP 5341-8
20+00S	300 feet	IP 5341-9
20+00S	200 feet	IP 5341-10
23+00S	300 feet	IP 5341-11
23+00S	200 feet	IP 5341-12
23+00S	100 feet	IP 5341-13

<u>Line</u>	<u>Electrode Intervals</u>	<u>Dwg. No.</u>
26+00S	300 feet	IP 5341-14
26+00S	200 feet	IP 5341-15
26+00S	100 feet	IP 5341-16
27+50S	300 feet	IP 5341-17
27+50S	200 feet	IP 5341-18
29+50S	200 feet	IP 5341-19
29+50S	100 feet	IP 5341-20
32+50S	300 feet	IP 5341-21
32+50S	200 feet	IP 5341-22
35+00S	200 feet	IP 5341-23
37+50S	200 feet	IP 5341-24
40+00S	200 feet	IP 5341-25

Enclosed with this report is Dwg. I. P. P. 4536, a plan map of the area at a scale of 1" = 400'. The definite and possible induced polarization anomalies are indicated by solid and broken bars respectively on this plan map as well as the data plots. These bars represent the surface projection of the anomalous zones as interpreted from the location of the transmitter and receiver electrodes when the anomalous values were measured.

Since the induced polarization measurement is essentially an averaging process, as are all potential methods, it is frequently difficult to exactly pinpoint the source of an anomaly. Certainly, no anomaly can be located with more accuracy than the spread length; i. e. when using 200' spreads the position of a narrow sulphide body can only be determined to lie

013

between two stations 200' apart. In order to locate sources at some depth, larger spreads must be used, with a corresponding increase in the uncertainties of location. Therefore, while the centre of the indicated anomaly probably corresponds fairly well with source, the length of the indicated anomaly along the line should not be taken to represent the exact edges of the anomalous material.

Geological information was obtained from publications of the Australian Bureau of Mineral Resources, Geology and Geophysics.

3. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Broad anomalies were found on every line of the survey. These anomalies for the most part lie within the large magnetic high, but several also lie outside or extend beyond it, indicating the presence of a non-magnetic source. The two drill holes proved that the strong magnetic highs are associated with magnetite, so the non-magnetic sources must be sulphides.

A zone from a non-magnetic source is indicated at the eastern end of Line 12+50S, Line 15+00S and Line 17+00S. Line 12+50S and Line 15+00S would have to be extended to the east to obtain complete data to outline the anomaly. The source appears to be at a depth of more than 1 unit (200 feet) on the two northernmost lines and at a depth between 100 feet and 200 feet on Line 17+50S.

An anomaly of interest is centred at about station 300E on Line 26+00S with the electrode interval at 100 feet. It is strong and well-shaped. The source of this anomaly can be traced on Line 27+50S (the deep anomaly

014
centred at approximately 200E Dwg. 5341-18), Line 29+50S (Dwg. 5341-20), Line 32+50S (Dwg. 5341-22, centre 200E) Line 35+00S (Dwg. 5341-23, centre 200E). The source appears to be at a depth greater than 200 feet (1 unit) and is in a weak area of the magnetic high.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Drilling has confirmed the presence of sulphides in the Natone iron deposits and the induced polarization and resistivity survey has shown anomalies over the greatest part of the large magnetic high over the deposits. Anomalies have also been found outside of the magnetic high and it is suggested that drilling test some of these anomalies.

- 1) A 45 degree hole collared at 400+50E on Line 26+00S, drilled to a depth of 200 feet, should test the source of the anomaly on this line. This source is very weakly magnetic.
- 2) Vertical holes should be drilled at a) station 1000W, Line 10+00S b) station 1200W, Line 20+00S and station 900W, Line 23+00S to test the broad, strong anomalies lying outside of the magnetic high. The anomalies are strongest on $n = 3$ or $n = 4$ so the drill holes should reach a total depth of at least 2 units, or 400 feet.
- 3) Line 12+50S and Line 15+00S should be extended to complete the data on the source of the anomalies on these lines. The source is deeper to the north, therefore electrode intervals of 200 feet should be used. The same anomaly on Line 17+50S is better defined with electrode intervals of 100 feet. The pattern line seems to indicate either the end of the source or else it is off the end of the source.

015

058017

- 6 -

McPHAR GEOPHYSICS PTY. LTD.

Marion A. Goudie

Marion A. Goudie,
Geologist.

Philip G. Hallof

Philip G. Hallof,
Geophysicist.

Dated: October 14, 1969

APPENDIX
THE INTERPRETATION OF
INDUCED POLARIZATION ANOMALIES
FROM RELATIVELY SMALL SOURCES

The induced polarization method was originally developed to detect disseminated sulphides and has proven to be very successful in the search for "porphyry copper" deposits. In recent years we have found that the IP method can also be very useful in exploring for more concentrated deposits of limited size. This type of source gives sharp IP anomalies that are often difficult to interpret.

The anomalous patterns that develop on the contoured data plots will depend on the size, depth and position of the source and the relative size of the electrode interval. The data plots are not sections showing the electrical parameters of the ground. When the electrode interval (X) is appreciably greater than the width of the source, a large volume of unmineralized rock is averaged into each measurement. This is particularly true for the large values of the electrode separation (n).

The theoretical scale model results shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 indicate the effect of depth. If the depth to the top of the source is small compared to the electrode interval (i. e. $d < X$) the measurement for $n = 1$ will be anomalous. In Figure 1 the depth is 0.5 units ($X = 1.0$ units) and the $n = 1$ value is definitely anomalous; the pattern on the contoured data plot is typical for a relatively shallow, narrow, near-vertical tabular source. The results in Figure 2 are for the same source with the depth increased to 1.5 units. Here the $n = 1$ value is not anomalous; the larger values of (n) are anomalous but the magnitudes are much lower than for the source at less depth.

When the electrode interval is greater than the width of the source, it is not possible to determine its width or exact position between the electrodes. The true IP effect within the source is also indeterminate; the anomaly from a very narrow source with a very large true IP effect will be much the same as that from a zone with twice the width and $1/2$ the true IP effect. The theoretical scale model data shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4 demonstrate this problem. The depth and position of the source are unchanged but the width and true IP effect are varied. The anomalous patterns and magnitudes are essentially the same, hence the data are insufficient to evaluate the source completely.

The normal practise is to indicate the IP anomalies by solid, broken, or dashed bars, depending upon their degree of distinctiveness. These bars represent the surface projection of the anomalous zones as interpreted from the location of the transmitter and receiver electrodes

017

when the anomalous values were measured. As illustrated in Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3 and Figure 4, no anomaly can be located with more accuracy than the spread length. While the centre of the solid bar indicating the anomaly corresponds fairly well with the source, the length of the bar should not be taken to represent the exact edges of the anomalous material.

If the source is shallow, the anomaly can be better evaluated using a shorter electrode interval. When the electrode interval used approaches the width of the source, the apparent effects measured will be nearly equal to the true effects within the source. When there is some depth to the top of the source, it is not possible to use electrode intervals that are much less than the depth to the source. In this situation, one must realize that a definite ambiguity exists regarding the width of the source and the IP effect within the source.




Our experience has confirmed the desirability of doing detail. When a reconnaissance IP survey using a relatively large electrode interval indicates the presence of a narrow, shallow source, detail with shorter electrode intervals is necessary in order to better locate, and evaluate, the source. The data of most usefulness is obtained when the maximum apparent IP effect is measured for $n = 2$ or $n = 3$. For instance, an anomaly originally located using $X = 300'$ may be checked with $X = 200'$ and then $X = 100'$. The data with $X = 100'$ will be quite different from the original reconnaissance results with $X = 300'$.

The data shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6 are field results from a greenstone area in Quebec. The expected sources were narrow (less than $30'$ in width) zones of massive, high-grade, zinc-silver ore. An electrode interval of $200'$ was used for the reconnaissance survey in order to keep the rate of progress at an acceptable level. The anomalies located were low in magnitude.



The very weak, shallow anomaly shown in Figure 5 is typical of those located by the $X = 200'$ reconnaissance survey. Several anomalies of this type were detailed using shorter electrode intervals. In most cases the detail measurements suggested broad zones of very weak mineralization. However, in the case of the source at 20N to 22N, the measurements with shorter electrode intervals confirmed the presence of a strong, narrow source. The $X = 50'$ results are shown in Figure 6. Subsequent drilling has shown the source to be $12.5'$ of massive sulphide mineralization containing significant zinc and silver values.

The change in the anomaly that results when the electrode interval is reduced is not unusual. The $X = 50'$ data more accurately locates the narrow source, and permits the geophysicist to make a better evaluation of its importance. The completion of this type of detail is very important, in order to get the maximum usefulness from a reconnaissance IP survey.

McPHAR GEOPHYSICS LIMITED
Theoretical Induced Polarization and Resistivity Studies
Scale Model Cases






$(P/2\pi)_1 = 10$ $(P/2\pi)_2 = 2.51$
 $(Mf)_1 = 0$ $(Mf)_2 = 10000$
 $(fe)_2 = 25\%$





CASE II-0-5-BU-10-a

FIG 1

McPHAR GEOPHYSICS LIMITED
Theoretical Induced Polarization and Resistivity Studies
Scale Model Cases




$(P/2\pi)_1 = 10$ $(P/2\pi)_2 = 2.6$
 $(Mf)_1 = 0$ $(Mf)_2 = 9250$
 $(fe)_2 = 24\%$



CASE II-1-5-BU-10-a

FIG 2





1
1
058020

**THEORETICAL
INDUCED POLARIZATION
AND
RESISTIVITY STUDIES**

SCALE MODEL CASE

PLAN VIEW



X EQUALS 1 UNIT






FIG. 3


**THEORETICAL
INDUCED POLARIZATION
AND
RESISTIVITY STUDIES**

SCALE MODEL CASE

PLAN VIEW






X EQUALS 1 UNIT



5 cm

FIG. 4





INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY RESULTS BATCHELOR LAKE AREA, QUEBEC.



MASSIVE SULPHIDE
ZONE

FIG. 5

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY RESULTS BATCHELOR LAKE AREA, QUEBEC.



MASSIVE SULPHIDE
ZONE


GLACIAL OVERBURDEN
GREENSTONE

FIG. 6

5 cm

151


058022



058023 DWG. NO.- I.P.-5341-1

MINOPS PTY. LTD.
 NATONE AREA
 N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO.- 10S



PLOTTING POINT → X X = 200'

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE

PROBABLE

POSSIBLE


FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS

DATE SURVEYED:

APPROVED: _____

NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10


DATE: 15th WET 68



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS 3359

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY


NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER



058024 DWG. NO. - I.P. - 5341-2
3361

MINOPS PTY. LTD.
NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO. - 10S



PLOTTING POINT → X X = 100'

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
DEFINITE
PROBABLE
POSSIBLE

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUL 1969

APPROVED:
DATE: 15 Oct 1969


NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER




058025 DWG. NO. - I.P. - 5341-3

MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO. - 12.55



PLOTTING POINT → X X = 200'

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE

PROBABLE

POSSIBLE

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1969

APPROVED:

NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10




DATE: 15 Dec 69



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER




058026 DWG. NO.- I.P.-5341-4

3362

MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO.- 12.55



SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE **————**

PROBABLE **|||||**

POSSIBLE **////**

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS

DATE SURVEYED: JUL 1969

APPROVED:



NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10

DATE:



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS


INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER

MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO. - 155



PLOTTING POINT X = 200'


SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
DEFINITE
PROBABLE
POSSIBLE

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1969

APPROVED:

NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10


DATE: 15 Oct 69



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER




MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO.- 155

ELECTRODE CONFIGURATION



PLOTTING POINT
X X = 100'


SURFACE PROJECTION
OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE
PROBABLE
POSSIBLE

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS

DATE SURVEYED: JUL 1969

APPROVED:



NOTE: CONTOURS AT
LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS
1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10


DATE: 13/10/69



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER




RESISTIVITY (APP.) IN OHM FEET / 2π

RESISTIVITY (APP.) IN OHM FEET / 2π

SW 4W 3W 2W 1W 0 1E 2E 3E 4E 5E 6E 7E 8E


METAL FACTOR (APP.)

METAL FACTOR (APP.)



FREQUENCY EFFECT (APP.) IN %

FREQUENCY EFFECT (APP.) IN %




MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO. - 17.55

ELECTRODE CONFIGURATION



PLOTTING POINT
X = 200'

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

- DEFINITE
- PROBABLE
- POSSIBLE


FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS

DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1969

APPROVED:

DATE:


NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER




RESISTIVITY (APP.) IN OHM FEET / 2π

RESISTIVITY (APP.) IN OHM FEET / 2π


METAL FACTOR (APP.)

METAL FACTOR (APP.)



FREQUENCY EFFECT (APP.) IN %


FREQUENCY EFFECT (APP.) IN %



MINOPS PTY. LTD. 3368

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA


LINE NO.- 17.55



PLOTTING POINT → X X = 100'

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
DEFINITE —————
PROBABLE ■■■■■■■■■■
POSSIBLE / / / / /

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: 24/11/1969

APPROVED:  DATE: 24/11/1969





NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10




McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER






058031 DWG. NO. - I.P.-5341-9

3367

MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA


LINE NO. - 20S



SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
 DEFINITE
 PROBABLE
 POSSIBLE

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUL 1969





APPROVED:
 NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10
 DATE:



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER




058032 DWG. NO. - I.P. - 5341-10

3368

MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO. - 20S



SURFACE PROJECTION
OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
DEFINITE
PROBABLE
POSSIBLE

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1969


APPROVED:

NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10 DATE:



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS


INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY
NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER



MINOPS PTY. LTD.


NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO.- 23S




SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
DEFINITE ————
PROBABLE - - - - -
POSSIBLE / / / / /

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1969








NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY



NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER



058034 DWG. NO. - I.P. - 5341-12
3370

MINOPS PTY. LTD.
NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO. - 235




SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
DEFINITE
PROBABLE
POSSIBLE

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1969

APPROVED: DATE:










NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY


NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER



MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO. - 235



SURFACE PROJECTION
OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE

PROBABLE

POSSIBLE


FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS

DATE SURVEYED: 15 OCT 69

APPROVED:

NOTE: CONTOURS AT
LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS
1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10


DATE: 15 OCT 69



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS


INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER




RESISTIVITY (APP.) IN OHM FEET / 2π

RESISTIVITY (APP.) IN OHM FEET / 2π




METAL FACTOR (APP.)

METAL FACTOR (APP.)



FREQUENCY EFFECT (APP.) IN %

FREQUENCY EFFECT (APP.) IN %




058036 DWG. NO. - I.P. - 5341-14

3372

MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO. - 26S




PLOTTING POINT X X = 300'

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
DEFINITE **————**
PROBABLE **|||||**
POSSIBLE **////**

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1969

APPROVED: *Tras*

NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10 DATE: 15 Dec 69



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS


INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER

MINOPS PTY. LTD. 3373

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO.- 265



SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE
 PROBABLE
 POSSIBLE

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS

DATE SURVEYED: 15 Oct 69

APPROVED:

NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10





DATE: 15 Oct 69



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER



058038


DWG. NO. - I.P. - 5341-16

3374

MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO. - 265



SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE

PROBABLE

POSSIBLE

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS

DATE SURVEYED: JUL 1969

APPROVED:

DATE: 15 Oct 69


NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS
1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS


INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER




RESISTIVITY (APP.) IN OHM FEET / 2π

RESISTIVITY (APP.) IN OHM FEET / 2π




METAL FACTOR (APP.)

METAL FACTOR (APP.)



FREQUENCY EFFECT (APP.) IN %

FREQUENCY EFFECT (APP.) IN %



058039


DWG. NO. - I.P. - 5341-17

3375

MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO. - 27.55



PLOTTING POINT → X X = 300'

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE **—————**
 PROBABLE **|||||**
 POSSIBLE **////**

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS


DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1968

APPROVED: _____

DATE: 15 Oct 68










NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS
 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY
 NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER






058040 DWG. NO.- I.P.-5341-18

3376

MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO.- 27.55




SURFACE PROJECTION
OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
DEFINITE **—————**
PROBABLE **|||||**
POSSIBLE **////**

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1969


APPROVED: DATE:

NOTE: CONTOURS AT
LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS
1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY
NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER




058041 DWG. NO.- I.P.-5341-19

3377

MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA


LINE NO.- 29.5S




PLOTTING POINT → X X = 200'

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
 DEFINITE **————**
 PROBABLE **|||||**
 POSSIBLE **////**

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1969

APPROVED: 





NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10
 DATE: 15 Dec 69



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER




058042 DWG. NO.- I.P.-5341-20

3378

MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO.- 29.55



PLOTTING POINT → X X = 100'

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE **—————**
PROBABLE **|||||**
POSSIBLE **////**


FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS

DATE SURVEYED: 4/11/1969

APPROVED: *[Signature]*

NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10





DATE: 15/10/69



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY


NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER



MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO.- 32.55



SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE

PROBABLE


POSSIBLE

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUL 1969

APPROVED:

NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10





DATE:



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER




058044 DWG. NO.- I.P.-5341-22

3380

MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO.- 32.55




SURFACE PROJECTION
OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
DEFINITE
PROBABLE
POSSIBLE

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1969

APPROVED:


NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10
DATE:



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS


INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER




RESISTIVITY (APP.) IN OHM FEET / 2π

RESISTIVITY (APP.) IN OHM FEET / 2π




METAL FACTOR (APP.)

METAL FACTOR (APP.)



FREQUENCY EFFECT (APP.) IN %


FREQUENCY EFFECT (APP.) IN %



MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO. - 355




SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
DEFINITE
PROBABLE
POSSIBLE

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1969

APPROVED:

NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10


DATE:



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER




RESISTIVITY (APP.) IN OHM FEET / 2π

RESISTIVITY (APP.) IN OHM FEET / 2π




METAL FACTOR (APP.)

METAL FACTOR (APP.)



FREQUENCY EFFECT (APP.) IN %


FREQUENCY EFFECT (APP.) IN %



MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO. - 37.55




SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
DEFINITE
PROBABLE
POSSIBLE

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1969

APPROVED:
DATE:


NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10



McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY


NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER



MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA
N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

LINE NO. - 40S



PLOTTING POINT X = 200'

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
DEFINITE **————**
PROBABLE **|||||**
POSSIBLE **////**

FREQUENCIES: 0.31-2.5 CPS DATE SURVEYED: JUN 1969

APPROVED: *Thab*
DATE: 15 Oct 69

NOTE: CONTOURS AT LOGARITHMIC INTERVALS 1.-1.5-2.-3.-5.-7.5-10




McPHAR GEOPHYSICS

INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY

NOTE: THIS PLOT WAS PRODUCED WITH AN IBM 360/75 COMPUTER AND A CALCOMP PLOTTER

McPHAR GEOPHYSICS
 INDUCED POLARIZATION AND RESISTIVITY SURVEY
 PLAN MAP



MINOPS PTY. LTD.

NATONE AREA, N.W. TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

SCALE



ONE INCH EQUALS TWO HUNDRED FEET


AMG REFERENCE POINT ADDED

SURFACE PROJECTION
 OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
 DEFINITE
 PROBABLE
 POSSIBLE
 Number at the end of anomaly
 indicates spread used.

058018



3358



LEGEND

- Geological boundary position approximate
- Geological boundary gradational
- Basalt
- Sediments, Siltstones, Slates, (Limestones)
- Quartzite, Conglomeratic or Brecciated zones
- Owen Conglomerate
- Proposed Rotary Drill Holes and Drilling order
- Magnetics 25° 2500 vertical intensity
- McPhar IP Anomalies
- Road
- Track
- Fence
- Working area required for proposed Drilling programme

69-581

MINOPS PTY LTD

NATONE
COMPOSITE PLAN

Scale: 1 inch = 100 feet Date: 8 - 9 - 69
DRAWN BY: D.J. Byrne