(b) Results

Test Product	Weight %		Assay % Sn	Distribution % Sn
Sulphide Ro Conc		11.31	0.30	10.81
Tin Rougher Conc 1	1.11			
Tin Rougher Conc 2	0.58			
Tin Rougher Conc 3	0.58	3.05	1.75	17.00
Tin Rougher Conc 4	0.46			
Tin Rougher Conc 5	0.32			
Tin Rougher Conc 6	0.54	1.09	7.60	26.40
Tin Rougher Conc 7	0.55			
Tin Rougher Conc 8	0.69	1.29	7.90	32.53
Tin Rougher Conc 9	0.60			
(Tin Ro Conc)		(5.43)	(4.39)	(75.93)
Tin Ro Tail		83.26	0.05	13.26
Calc Head		100.00	0.31	100.00
Assay Head			0.29	

(c) Comments

(i) Porphyry Composite 2 (PC2) exhibited similar flotation response to that shown by Head Sample No. 1 (Stage I).

(ii) Tin reported (lost) in sulphide rougher concentrate was higher in PC2 (>10%) than in Head Sample No. 1 (<5%). This may be due to different nature in mineralogical composition of the two ore samples.

(iii) Tin reported (recovered) in tin rougher concentrate was lower in PC2 (<80%) than in Head Sample No. 1 (>90%) although concentrate grades (3-5% Sn) were similar.

(iv) Tin extraction improved from flotation Stages I to IX and at the end which tin minerals were still floating selectively.