

000

823001

88-2766

MINES	
File Ref.	EL 48/82
11 JAN 1988	
Doc. Ref.	
Action Officer	Initials
LETTER	
7-1-88	
REFERS	
Resubmit to	Date

RELINQUISHMENT REPORT  
EXPLORATION LICENCE 48/82  
BORRADAILE PLAINS

December, 1987

N. Charchalis

*Base Resources Ltd*

001

CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS
2. INTRODUCTION
3. FIELD EXPLORATION METHODS
4. LABORATORY FOLLOWUP METHODS
  - 4.1 Indicator Minerals
  - 4.2 Hardness Test
  - 4.3 Fluorescence Test
  - 4.4 Refractive Index and Other Tests
5. RESULTS OF PROSPECTING

APPENDIX

- Appendix 1      Amdel Reports Analysis for Kimberlitic indicator  
                         minerals

## 1. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

1.1 This report summarises diamond exploration completed with the Borradaille Plains Exploration Licence 48/82, carried out under the supervision of Consulting Geologist Dr. B.L. Wood.

1.2 The licence closely approximates a former licence held by Shell Company of Australia, and this Company passed over to Base Resources some 600 stream sediment samples suitable for laboratory testing for kimberlitic indicator minerals.

1.3 Despite exhaustive laboratory optical and analytic tests on these samples, no kimberlitic indicator minerals or clastic diamonds were located, and the clastic assemblages within the stream sediment samples were readily correlatable with the geological units occurring within the E.L.

1.4 In view of the negative results of the survey, it was decided to relinquish the E.L.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

E.L. 48/82 Borrodaille Plains was granted to Base Resources Ltd. for one year, to remain in force until 29th August, 1984, and was subsequently renewed over one-yearly increments until August, 1987, when it was relinquished. The area applied for was approximately 220 sq.Km. and largely corresponded to a former E.L. 28/80 held by the Shell Company of Australia, Metals Division. The area granted to Base Resources was reduced by the Department of Mines to 190 sq.Km. by an exclusion of an area in the upper Campbell River designated as Proposed National Park Extension.

The exploration focused on the search for diamondiferous kimberlite pipes, and was carried out under the supervision of Consulting Geologist Dr. B.L. Woods.

The rationale behind the exploration programme has been summarised in prior annual reports, and is not reiterated here.

The field exploration techniques and laboratory methods which were employed in the search for diamonds and indicator minerals within the E.L. may be summarised thus;

## 3. FIELD EXPLORATION METHODS

The methods employed are those of classical stream sediment heavy mineral search for indicator minerals, in which both pan-concentrate and sieved -20+80 bulk sediment samples are collected at each site. The pan concentrates are subsequently re-concentrated in heavy liquid Tetrabromoethane (T.B.E.), to recover minerals of density greater than 2.9. These are washed in alcohol and dried for visual scanning under the binocular microscope.

The E.L. area comprises steep to mountainous topography, with a well developed, youthful trellised-dendritic drainage system most of which is actively eroding and loaded with abundant sediment. In parts however, upper reaches of streams drain basalt plains or dolerite plateaus, and are slowmoving and swampy with little usable sediment.

The attrition rate of the indicator minerals being sought is now well known for such high energy conditions, but maximum transit-survival distances are inferred to be less than 5 km and probably more than 3 km. At an optimum spacing of sample localities between these limits a total of approximately 500 samples should be adequate for the area.

In the present area bulk samples of between 5 and 8 kg and pan concentrates of about 200 gm, equivalent to about 10 kg weight of raw sieved sediment, were used. These are thought to be adequate because of the relatively short stream lengths involved, in contrast to the long poorly defined streams of the West Kimberley, W.A., where bulk samples of up to several tonnes are necessary, (Gregory 1984).

#### 4. LABORATORY FOLLOWUP METHODS

The ultimate purpose of this stage is to locate and identify true indicator minerals of undoubted kimberlitic origin in the rather widely variable assemblages of species in the heavy-concentrate samples. The first step involved close examination under the binocular microscope, and systematic search through all the sample grains for the diagnostic features of the minerals being sought. In the case of voluminous samples this may take up to two hours, with additional time for various tests of individual grains. Most samples are also examined under U.V. light to check for fluorescent grains.

##### 4.1 Indicator Minerals

The indicator species generally sought are as follows:

<u>Mineral</u>	<u>Significance</u>	<u>Transit-Survival Distance</u>
Picro Ilmenite	Diagnostic	Tens of km
Pyrope Garnet	"	" " "
Chrome Diopside	"	A few km
Kimberlitic Chromite	"	"
Kimberlitic Zircon	"	"
Olivine	Depends on country rocks	
Corundum	"	
Perovskite	"	
Apatite	"	(After Gregory, 1984)

In the present E.L. area the common occurrence of doleritic and basaltic rocks, and of low grade metamorphics in the Proterozoic basement results in a profusion of species in the stream sediments similar to many of those in the above list.

Thus almost all samples include doleritic-basaltic diopside, enstatite and olivine, ilmenite, black spinels - some chromitic, magnetite and zircon. Also very common are garnets of all colours (except green) mainly of metamorphic origin but possibly also igneous from unmapped porphyries or minor granite bodies. Several other minerals in the stream sediments resemble indicators under the binocular microscope, for example clasts of dark tourmaline from Proterozoic schist may often resemble perovskite, fragments of anatase resemble corundum, and dark-green epidote resemble chrome diopside.

In view of this profusion of distractors, the present search is concentrated mainly on garnet and diopside, and where other possible

indicators (e.g. perovskite) may be present (but noted in the tables as Tourmaline) the sample is designated for E.P.M.A.

#### 4.2 Hardness Test

This was carried out on many individual grains in search for clastic diamond using a table of natural corundum. Limpid quartz fragments and zircons were rested frequently and collapsed on being firmly pressed against the test tablet. No diamond has yet been found.

#### 4.3 Fluorescence Test

Carried out under the microscope at close range this revealed many zircons with golden fluorescence, but too many to be diagnostic of kimberlite. Fifty three blue fluorescent grains proved to be diopside, not diamond. These tests are continuing.

#### 4.4 Refractive Index and Other Tests

After visual recognition of possible indicator grains, tests of refractive index in oils are carried out, particularly on garnet and pyroxene grains. This is to check that the sample grains fall within the specific ranges of pyrope and of diopside. Garnets with R.I. 1.67 to 1.78 are retained, as are pyroxenes with R.I. 1.65-1.70. Representative grains are then further checked by XRD either by goniometer or by powder camera photography.

At an early stage of the work further checks were made using the Scanning Electron Microscope fitted with an EDAX system, to obtain partial analyses of diagnostic elements in garnet and pyroxene, in particular Mg and Cr respectively. In the later stages this step is being omitted and most reliance is placed on the R.I. determination to screen out inappropriate compositions.

In spite of these lengthy and laborious search and screening procedures, results may still not be definite or certain, and the best that can be expected is that the most appropriate mineral samples have been obtained for the final step, which is Electron Microprobe Analysis (EPMA).

### 5. RESULTS OF PROSPECTING

An initial literature search and airphoto scan was carried out at Hobart, and at the Devonport office of the previous title holder, Shell Company of Australia. In addition Shell provided Base Resources some 600 suitable stream sediment samples from within the E.L. and processing and scanning of these samples was carried in Sydney, under the supervision of Dr. B. Wood.

Selected samples were then forwarded to AMDEL for microprobe analysis (refer Annual Report for year ending August, 1984).

As a result of the microprobe analysis completed by Amdel (results appended), four samples were identified as being appropriate for full-scale mineralogical assay by a diamond-search laboratory.

To this end, four samples, 057, 396, 485 and 498, were forwarded to Diamond Laboratory Services Pty. Ltd., Sydney, for further work. These

samples were washed and heavy and light fractions prepared. The heavy fraction was observed under the binocular stereo-microscope for diamonds and other kimberlitic indicator minerals.

The laboratory reports no diamonds, garnets, ilmenites, chrome-diopsides or spinels were identified in any of the samples.

The results of the extensive analytical tests completed on the stream sediment samples have proved to be negative in terms of identifying diamonds and for kimberlitic indicator minerals, and the clastic assemblages in the stream sediment samples can be correlated with the major geological units occurring in the area (Refer Annual Report for August, 1984).

In view of the negative results generated from the survey, there was little point in persisting with diamond exploration within the E.L., and the area was relinquished.

N. Charchalis

APPENDIX

Amdel Reports

Analysis for kimberlite indicator minerals

ANALYSIS OF SAND GRAINS FOR  
KIMBERLITE INDICATOR MINERALS

|  
Belwood Pty Limited

3/0/0-GS 5966/84

October 1983

008 The Australian  
Development  
Laboratories

# amdel

823009

Street, Frewville,  
n Australia 5063  
delaide 79 1662  
Telex AA 82520

ase address all  
rrespondence to  
x 114 Eastwood  
SA 5063  
In reply quote:

20 October 1983

GS 3/0/0

Belwood Pty Limited  
753 Kingsway  
GYMEA NSW 2227

Attention: Dr B.L. Wood

REPORT GS 5966/84

YOUR REFERENCE: Letter dated 12 September 1983  
MATERIAL: 44 sand grains from 11 locations  
IDENTIFICATION: Nos. 009 - 498  
DATE RECEIVED: 21 September 1983  
WORK REQUIRED: Analysis for Kimberlite Indicator Minerals

Investigation and Report by: Michael Till

Chief - Geological Services Section: Dr Keith J. Henley  
Manager, Mineral and Materials Sciences Division: Dr William G. Spencer



for Brian S. Hickman  
Managing Director

Head Office:  
on Street, Frewville  
uth Australia 5063,  
phone (08) 79 1662  
ex: Amdel AA82520  
Pilot Plant:  
Osman Place  
Thebarton, S.A.  
phone (08) 43 8053  
Branch Laboratories:  
Melbourne, Vic.  
phone (03) 645 3093  
Perth, W.A.  
phone (09) 325 7311  
Townsville  
Queensland 4814  
phone (077) 75 1377

caw

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Twenty three suspected diopside and twenty one suspected garnet sand grains were received from Dr B.L. Wood on behalf of Base Resources Limited, Sydney with a request for microscopic examination and microprobe analyses of suspected kimberlite indicator minerals.

## 2. PROCEDURE

The samples were examined microscopically in loose grain mounts. The grains were considered to be too small to be separated on the Frantz isodynamic magnetic separator (which can be used to separate almandine from pyrope, for example). Selected diopside and garnet grains were then carefully mounted in a polished section (PS 32009) in the following order.

S	009		
			265
	033		?
			277
	057		457
	206		485
	213		498
	250		F

Despite the care that was taken with mounting the grains, the diopside grain from sample 206 was plucked during polishing. One garnet grain from sample 498 could not be located in the vial. The identity of the diopside grain fragment marked '?' is not known.

The following elements/oxides were analysed. Their detection limits are as follows:

Element/Oxide	Detection Limit (wt %)
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.06
CaO	0.07
Cl	0.04
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.12
FeO	0.14
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.05
MgO	0.05
MnO	0.13
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.05
NiO	0.22
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.07
SO <sub>3</sub>	0.10
TiO	0.11

SiO<sub>2</sub>

0.06

V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>

0.11

## 3. RESULTS

The results of the microscopic examination of the submitted grains are as follows:

Sample No.	Inferred Mineral	No. of Grains	Refractive Index	Extinction Angle	Submitted for EPMA
009	Diopside	1	1.661	43°	✓
033	Diopside	3	1.661	33°	✓
057	Garnet	2	<1.77	-	✓
		1	<1.65	-	X
	Diopside	3	1.663	30 - 43°	✓
206	Diopside	1	1.661	43°	✓
	Garnet	3	>1.79	-	X
213	Diopside	1	1.661	33°	✓
250	Diopside	2	1.661	44°	✓
265	Garnet	2	1.775	-	✓
		1	>1.80	-	X
	Diopside	2	1.661	36 - 40°	✓
		1	1.663	0°	✓
277	Garnet	2	1.78	-	✓
		1	>1.80	-	X
	Diopside	2	1.683	30°	✓
457	Diopside	1	1.661	32°	✓
		4	<1.650	-	X
485	Diopside	2	<1.650	-	X
	Garnet	4	<1.77	-	✓
498	Garnet	1	>1.78	-	X
		5	1.775	-	✓

- = not determined.

The results of the electron probe microanalyses are as follows. (Note the FeO refers to total Fe as FeO).

011

823012

Sample 009 Diopside	Wt %	Cations (0 = 6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.70	1.906
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.32	0.008
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.90	0.251
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.80	0.022
FeO	2.50	0.075
MgO	15.86	0.855
CaO	20.19	0.737
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>1.25</u>	0.082
Total	99.52	

Sample 033 Diopside 1	Wt %	Cations (0 = 6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.72	1.909
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.14	0.003
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.96	0.254
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.76	0.021
FeO	2.63	0.079
MgO	15.14	0.817
CaO	21.22	0.823
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>1.06</u>	0.074
Total	99.63	

Sample 033 Diopside 2	Wt %	Cations (0 = 6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	53.66	1.988
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.17	0.051
FeO	6.71	0.207
MgO	14.26	0.787
CaO	23.66	0.939
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>0.33</u>	0.023
Total	99.78	

Sample 033      Wt %      Cations (O = 6)  
Diopside 3

SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.66	1.929
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.56	0.015
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.76	0.119
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.36	0.010
FeO	8.11	0.248
MnO	0.18	0.005
MgO	17.96	0.980
CaO	17.17	0.674
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>0.22</u>	0.015
Total	99.98	

Sample 057      Wt %      Cations (O= 24)  
Garnet 1

SiO <sub>2</sub>	38.58	6.024
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21.64	3.981
FeO	27.66	3.612
MnO	0.90	0.118
MgO	3.33	0.775
CaO	<u>8.80</u>	1.472
Total	100.90	

Sample 057      Wt %      Cations (O= 24)  
Garnet 2

SiO <sub>2</sub>	41.35	5.973
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	23.58	4.015
FeO	19.06	2.302
MnO	0.41	0.050
MgO	15.79	3.399
CaO	<u>1.79</u>	0.277
Total	101.98	

Sample 057      Wt %      Cations (0 = 6)  
Diopside 1

823014

SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.94	1.934
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.25	0.006
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.65	0.114
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.80	0.023
FeO	7.07	0.216
MgO	18.25	0.994
CaO	17.73	0.694
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>0.21</u>	0.014
Total	99.90	

Sample 057      Wt %      Cations (0 = 6)  
Diopside 2

SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.23	1.916
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.60	0.016
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.83	0.122
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.98	0.028
FeO	7.20	0.221
MgO	17.21	0.941
CaO	18.82	0.739
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>0.16</u>	0.011
Total	100.04	

Sample 057      Wt %      Cations (0 = 6)  
Diopside 3

SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.07	1.891
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.83	0.023
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.82	0.166
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.70	0.020
FeO	7.22	0.223
MgO	17.47	0.964
CaO	17.56	0.696
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>0.18</u>	0.013
Total	98.85	

Diopside - This grain was plucked during polishing. The analysis of another unidentified diopside found in the polished section is:

SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.68	1.914
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.20	0.005
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.47	0.234
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.70	0.020
FeO	2.40	0.072
MgO	15.23	0.825
CaO	21.72	0.845
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>1.02</u>	0.071
Total	99.41	

Sample 213      Wt %      Cations (0 = 6)  
Diopside

SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.32	1.915
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.19	0.223
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.73	0.021
FeO	2.23	0.068
MgO	15.81	0.062
CaO	21.50	0.843
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>0.79</u>	0.055
Total	98.58	

Sample 250      Wt %      Cations (0 = 6)  
Diopside 1

SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.72	1.879
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	6.51	0.273
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.00	0.028
FeO	2.27	0.067
MgO	15.73	0.836
CaO	21.75	0.830
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.06	0.002
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.98	0.067
SO <sub>3</sub>	<u>0.21</u>	0.005
Total	101.24	

Sample 250 Diopside 2	Wt %	Cations (O = 6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.20	1.895
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.59	0.016
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.59	0.156
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.77	0.022
FeO	7.03	0.217
MgO	16.81	0.927
CaO	19.06	0.755
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>0.22</u>	0.015
Total	99.27	

Sample 265 Garnet 1	Wt %	Cations (O= 24)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	39.63	6.010
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.06	3.943
FeO	24.26	3.077
MnO	0.53	0.068
MgO	8.43	1.905
CaO	<u>6.24</u>	1.013
Total	101.14	

Sample 265 Garnet 2	Wt %	Cations (O= 24)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	38.97	6.033
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21.77	3.972
FeO	23.33	3.821
MnO	0.70	0.091
MgO	3.28	0.757
CaO	<u>12.68</u>	2.103
Total	100.73	

Sample 265 Diopside 1	Wt %	Cations (O = 6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	53.50	1.993
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.71	0.031
FeO	8.00	0.249
MnO	0.33	0.010
MgO	13.93	0.773
CaO	<u>23.37</u>	0.933
Total	99.83	

Sample 265      Wt %      Cations (0 = 6)  
Diopside 2

SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.01	1.916
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.78	0.021
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.96	0.128
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.77	0.022
FeO	4.96	0.152
MgO	16.03	0.880
CaO	21.53	0.850
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>0.42</u>	0.029
Total	99.45	

Sample 265      Wt %      Cations (0 = 6)  
Diopside 3

SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.21	1.882
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.91	0.025
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4.23	0.183
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.82	0.023
FeO	4.88	0.150
MgO	16.08	0.881
CaO	20.96	0.825
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>0.47</u>	0.033
Total	99.57	

Sample 277      Wt %      Cations (0= 24)  
Garnet 1

SiO <sub>2</sub>	37.01	5.991
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21.02	4.009
FeO	27.08	3.665
MnO	12.72	1.744
MgO	1.12	0.269
CaO	1.69	0.292
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>0.21</u>	0.065
Total	100.84	

Sample 277 Garnet 2	Wt %	Cations (O= 24)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	37.48	6.003
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21.30	4.021
FeO	31.06	4.160
MnO	8.20	1.112
MgO	1.92	0.457
CaO	1.12	0.192
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.25	0.076
Cl	<u>0.05</u>	0.014
Total	101.39	

Sample 277 Diopside 1	Wt %	Cations (O = 6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	53.06	1.944
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.35	0.009
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.51	0.108
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.62	0.017
FeO	7.37	0.226
MnO	0.16	0.005
MgO	18.13	0.990
CaO	<u>17.32</u>	0.680
Total	99.52	

Sample 277 Diopside 2	Wt %	Cations (O = 6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.24	1.926
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.52	0.014
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.89	0.125
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.88	0.025
FeO	6.62	0.204
MgO	16.82	0.924
CaO	19.15	0.756
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>0.18</u>	0.012
Total	99.32	

Sample 457      Wt %      Cations (O = 6)  
Diopside

823019

SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.92	1.944
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.28	0.007
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.53	0.109
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.70	0.020
FeO	6.88	0.211
MgO	17.91	0.981
CaO	<u>18.00</u>	0.708
Total	99.21	

Sample 485      Wt %      Cations (O= 24)  
Garnet 1

SiO <sub>2</sub>	40.08	5.995
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.42	3.952
FeO	24.40	3.052
MnO	0.66	0.083
MgO	9.82	2.190
CaO	4.32	0.692
SO <sub>3</sub>	<u>0.17</u>	0.017
Total	101.88	

Sample 485      Wt %      Cations (O= 24)  
Garnet 2

SiO <sub>2</sub>	40.34	6.025
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.35	3.934
FeO	22.37	2.794
MnO	0.48	0.060
MgO	10.00	2.225
CaO	<u>6.04</u>	0.967
Total	101.58	

Sample 485 Garnet 3	Wt %	Cations (O= 24)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	39.55	5.973
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.11	3.935
FeO	24.84	3.137
MnO	0.32	0.041
MgO	8.83	1.987
CaO	5.79	0.937
SO <sub>3</sub>	<u>0.13</u>	0.014
Total	101.57	

Sample 485 Garnet 4	Wt %	Cations (O= 24)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	40.97	5.999
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.87	3.947
FeO	18.03	2.208
MnO	0.25	0.030
MgO	12.34	2.692
CaO	<u>7.32</u>	1.147
Total	101.77	

Sample 498 Garnet 1	Wt %	Cations (O= 24)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	39.55	5.962
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.74	4.039
V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.11	0.013
FeO	27.24	3.433
MnO	0.47	0.059
MgO	9.38	2.090
CaO	<u>2.54</u>	0.410
Total	101.95	

Sample 498 Garnet 2	Wt %	Cations (O= 24)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	40.26	6.007
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.87	4.021
FeO	25.01	3.121
MnO	0.52	0.066
MgO	9.34	2.078
CaO	<u>4.29</u>	0.685
Total	102.30	

Sample 498 Garnet 3	Wt %	Cations (O= 24)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	40.47	5.994
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	23.11	4.034
FeO	21.70	2.687
MnO	0.49	0.060
MgO	12.00	2.648
CaO	<u>3.53</u>	0.560
Total	101.31	

823021

Sample 498 Garnet 4	Wt %	Cations (O= 24)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	39.95	6.031
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.28	3.950
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.14	0.016
FeO	26.03	3.287
MnO	0.54	0.069
MgO	9.53	2.145
CaO	<u>3.00</u>	0.485
Total	101.39	

A summary of the Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content of the diopsides is as follows:

Sample	Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt %)
009	0.30
033	<0.13, 0.36, 0.76
057	0.70, 0.80, 0.98
206	-
213	0.73
250	0.77, 1.00
265	<0.12, 0.77, 0.82
277	0.62, 0.88
457	0.70

A summary of the MgO content of the garnets is as follows:

021

<u>Sample</u>	<u>MgO (wt %)</u>
057	3.33, 15.79
265	3.28, 8.43
277	1.12, 1.92
485	8.83, 9.82, 10.00, 12.34
498	9.30, 9.34, 9.53, 12.00

823022

Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was detected only in garnet 4, sample 498 (detection limit .12%). V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was detected in garnet 1, sample 498.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The values of % Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in diopside in these samples are within the range of chrome diopside (0.21-2.81%), as defined by Stephens and Dawson (1977)\*, but at the lower end. These values do not necessarily indicate a kimberlite source.

The values of % MgO in garnet in these samples indicate that pyrope garnet (defined as 11.5 + % MgO) is present in samples 057, 485 and 498. One garnet from sample 057 contains 15.8% MgO. While kimberlitic garnets typically have 18.0 + % MgO, some pyrope garnets in kimberlites have reported values as low as 11.75% MgO.

\*STEVENS W.E. and DAWSON J.B. 1977. Statistical comparison between pyroxenes from kimberlites and their associated xenoliths. J. Geology, Vol. 85, pp. 433 - 449.

022



823023

The Australian  
Mineral Development  
Laboratories

# amdel

Flemington Street, Frewville,  
South Australia 5063  
Phone Adelaide (08) 79 1662  
Telex AAB2520

Please address all  
correspondence to  
P.O. Box 114 Eastwood  
SA 5063  
In reply quote:

14 February 1985

GS 3/0/0

Belwood Pty Limited  
753 Kingsway  
GYMEA NSW 2227

Attention: Dr B.L. Wood

REPORT G 6170/85 - PART II - FINAL

YOUR REFERENCE: Letter dated 8 November 1984

IDENTIFICATION: 002-403, L006-L102 (not inclusive)

MATERIAL: 153 sand grains from 28 locations

DATE RECEIVED: 15 November 1984

WORK REQUIRED: Analysis for kimberlite indicator minerals

Investigation and Report by: Michael Till

Chief - Geological Services Section: Dr Keith J. Henley

for Dr William G. Spencer  
Manager, Mineral and Materials Sciences Division

Head Office:  
Flemington Street, Frewville  
South Australia 5063  
Telephone (08) 79 1662  
Telex: Amdel AA82520  
Pilot Plant:  
Osman Place  
Thebarton, S.A.  
Telephone (08) 43 5733  
Telex: Amdel AA82725  
Branch Laboratories:  
Melbourne, Vic.  
Telephone (03) 645 3093  
Perth, W.A.  
Telephone (09) 325 7311  
Telex: Amdel AA94893  
Sydney, N.S.W.  
Telephone (02) 439 7735  
Telex: Amdel AA20053  
Townsville  
Queensland 4814  
Telephone (077) 75 1377

cap

ANALYSIS OF SAND GRAINS FOR KIMBERLITE INDICATOR MINERALS

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Sand grain samples from thirty two localities were received from Dr B.L. Wood on behalf of Base Resources Limited, Sydney with a request for brief microscopic examination and microprobe analyses of suspected kimberlite indicator minerals.

## 2. PROCEDURE

The samples were examined microscopically in loose grain mounts, the diopside grains in an oil of refractive index of 1.66 and the garnet grains in an oil of refractive index 1.77. The diopside grains with a refractive index of not less than 1.66 and with inclined extinction or indeterminable extinction were mounted in a polished section and analysed by an electron probe microanalyser. The garnet grains with a refractive index of not greater than 1.77 were also mounted in a polished section and analysed by an electron probe microanalyser.

Several vials with clear plastic tops were loose upon receipt of the sample container. A summary of the grains received, examined and probed is as follows:

Sample	Grains Received/Examined	Grains Submitted for Mounting in Polished Section	Grains Probed
	Diopside:Garnet	Diopside:Garnet	Diopside:Garnet
002	5:4	4:4	3:3
100	2:6	0:3	0:3
124	4:2	2:2	2:1
159	2:1	2:0	2:0
160	2:1	2:0	2:0
167	3:1	3:1	3:1
195	16:3	15:3	15:1
201	2:5	2:4	2:4
207	1:4	1:0	1:0
368	6:9	2:6	2:5
369	3:3	2:2	2:2
387	1:2	1:1	1:1
396	1:2	1:2	1:2
398	2:5	1:2	1:2
403	1:3	1:3	1:3
L006	0:4	0:0	0:0
L008	0:6	0:0	0:0
L009	0:2	0:0	0:0

Sample	Grains Received/Examined	Grains Submitted for Mounting in Polished Section	Grains Probed
	Diopside:Garnet	Diopside:Garnet	Diopside:Garnet
L024	6:8	6:7	6:6
L028	2:0	2:0	2:0
L032	1:5	0:0	0:0
L039	1:1	1:0	1:0
L048	3:3	3:0	2:0
L065	3:0	1:0	1:0
L087	2:2	1:0	1:0
L091	1:1	1:0	1:0
L099	0:0	0:0	0:0
L102	0:0	0:0	0:0

The following elements/oxides were analysed. Their detection limits are as follows:

Element/Oxide	Detection Limit (Wt %)
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.06
CaO	0.07
Cl	0.04
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.13
FeO	0.15
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.05
MgO	0.05
MnO	0.13
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.05
NiO	0.22
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.07
SO <sub>3</sub>	0.10
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.11
SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.06
V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.14

025

## 3. RESULTS

The results of the microscopic examination of the submitted grains are as follows:

Sample	Inferred Mineral	No. of Grains	Refractive Index	Extinction Angle	Submitted for EPMA
002	Diopside	1	<1.66	0°	x
		2	>1.66	22°, 27°	✓
		2	>1.66	n.d.	✓
100	Garnet	4	<1.77	-	✓
	Diopside	2	<1.66	n.d.	x
	Garnet	1	>1.77	-	x
124	Diopside	3	<1.77	-	✓
		2	>1.66	0°	x
		1	>1.66	n.d.	✓
159	Garnet	1	n.d.*	n.d.	✓
		2	<1.77	-	✓
		1	>1.66	33°	✓
160	Garnet	1	>1.66	n.d.	✓
		1	>1.66	-	x
		1	>1.66	n.d.	✓
167	Garnet	1	n.d.*	n.d.	✓
		1	>1.77	-	x
		2	>1.66	30°, 45°	✓
195	Diopside	1	>1.66	n.d.	✓
		1	1.77	-	✓
		7	>1.66	12-35°	✓
201	Garnet	8	>1.66	n.d.	✓
		1	>1.66	n.d.	x
		3	<1.77	-	✓
207	Diopside	1	>1.66	40°	✓
		1	>1.66	n.d.	✓
		1	>1.77	-	x
207	Diopside	4	n.d.*	-	✓
		1	>1.66	n.d.	✓
		3	>1.77	-	x
207	Diopside	1	>1.77	-	x
		1	>1.77	-	x

\*Grains too large to determine refractive index.

026

Sample	Inferred Mineral	No. of Grains	Refractive Index	Extinction Angle	Submitted for EPMA
368	Diopside	3	<1.66	0°, 35°, n.d.	x (one grain is tourmaline)
		1	>1.66	0°	x
		2	>1.66	40°	✓
	Garnet	3	>1.77	-	x
		6	<1.77	-	✓
369	Diopside	2	>1.66	33°, n.d.	✓
		1	<1.66	n.d.	x
	Garnet	2	1.77	-	✓
		1	>1.77	-	x
387	Diopside	1	n.d.	42°	✓
	Garnet	1	<1.77	-	✓
		1	>1.77	-	x
396	Diopside	1	>1.66	25°	✓
	Garnet	2	<1.77	-	✓
398	Diopside	1	>1.60	0°	x
		1	n.d.	n.d.	✓
	Garnet	3	>1.77	-	x
		2	<1.77	-	✓
403	Diopside	1	>1.66	45°	✓
	Garnet	3	<1.77	-	✓
L006	Garnet	4	>1.77	-	x
L008	Garnet	6	>1.77	-	x
L009	Garnet	2	>1.77	-	x
L024	Diopside	5	>1.66	n.d.	✓
		1	>1.66	11°	✓
	Garnet	7	<1.77	-	✓
		1	>1.77	-	x
L028	Diopside	2	>1.66	38°, 40°	✓
L032	Diopside	1	<1.66	0°	x
	Garnet	5	>1.77	-	x
L039	Diopside	1	>1.66	44°	✓
	Garnet	1	>1.77	-	x
L048	Diopside	2	>1.66	n.d.	✓
		1	>1.66	30°	✓
	Garnet	3	>1.77	-	x
L065	Diopside	2	<1.66	n.d.	x (one is tourmaline)
		1	>1.66	n.d.	✓
L087	Diopside	1	>1.66	40°	✓
		1	1.66	0°	x
		2	>1.77	-	x

027

Sample	Inferred Mineral	No. of Grains	Refractive Index	Extinction Angle	Submitted for EPMA
L091	Diopside	1	>1.66	10°	✓
	Garnet	1	>1.77	-	x

The results of the electron probe microanalyses are as follows (note that FeO refers to total Fe as FeO).

Sample 002: PS33683

	Diopside 1		Diopside 2	
	Wt %	Cations (O=6)	Wt %	Cations (O=6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.5	1.93	50.3	1.88
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.6	0.02	0.7	0.02
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.3	0.10	4.4	0.19
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.3	0.01	1.2	0.03
FeO	8.3	0.26	6.4	0.19
MgO	15.3	0.85	15.5	0.87
CaO	20.1	0.81	19.7	0.79
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.4	0.03	0.3	0.02
Total	98.8		98.5	

  

	Diopside 3		Garnet 1	
	Wt %	Cations (O=6)	Wt %	Cations (O=24)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	50.5	1.90	38.7	5.95
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.6	0.02	-	-
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.8	0.17	22.0	3.99
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.9	0.03	-	-
FeO	6.4	0.20	23.0	2.96
MnO	0.2	0.01	3.0	0.39
MgO	15.8	0.88	7.2	1.65
CaO	19.6	0.79	6.7	1.10
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.2	0.02	-	-
Total	98.0		100.6	

- = not detected at limit quoted above.

Sample 002: (Continued)

	<u>Garnet 2</u>		<u>Garnet 3</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=20)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	38.3	6.01	38.3	5.96
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21.5	3.98	22.0	4.03
FeO	27.9	3.66	31.4	4.09
MnO	1.0	0.13	0.7	0.09
MgO	7.6	1.78	6.8	1.59
CaO	<u>2.7</u>	0.45	<u>1.6</u>	0.27
Total	99.0		100.8	

Sample 100: PS33684

	<u>Garnet 1</u>		<u>Garnet 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	38.5	6.00	39.1	5.91
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21.4	3.93	22.1	3.98
FeO	26.4	3.44	24.3	3.10
MnO	0.5	0.06	0.7	0.08
MgO	6.6	1.53	8.4	1.91
CaO	<u>6.1</u>	1.02	<u>6.1</u>	1.00
Total	99.5		100.7	

  

	<u>Garnet 3</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	38.7	5.98
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.1	4.02
FeO	31.9	4.12
MnO	0.9	0.12
MgO	6.9	1.59
CaO	<u>1.1</u>	0.18
Total	101.6	

- = not detected at limit quoted above.

02J

Sample 124: PS33685

	<u>Diopside 1</u>		<u>Diopside 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.7	1.91	51.6	1.92
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.6	0.02	0.5	0.01
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.3	0.15	2.7	0.12
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.9	0.03	0.9	0.03
FeO	7.4	0.23	6.9	0.21
MgO	16.6	0.92	16.7	0.92
CaO	<u>18.6</u>	0.74	<u>19.3</u>	0.77
Total	99.1		98.6	

	<u>Garnet 1</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	39.0	5.98
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21.9	3.96
FeO	24.2	3.10
MnO	0.5	0.06
MgO	8.3	1.90
CaO	<u>6.2</u>	1.03
Total	100.1	

Sample 159: PS33686

	<u>Diopside 1</u>		<u>Diopside 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	50.3	1.84	52.6	1.90
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.4	0.01	0.3	0.01
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	8.8	0.38	6.3	0.27
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.2	0.01	0.6	0.02
FeO	7.4	0.23	2.8	0.08
MgO	16.6	0.91	15.4	0.83
CaO	13.8	0.54	20.5	0.79
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>1.4</u>	0.10	<u>1.3</u>	0.09
Total	98.9		99.8	

- = not detected at limit quote above.

030

## Sample 160: PS33687

	Diopside 1 (Orthopyroxene)		Diopside 2	
	Wt %	Cations (O=6)	Wt %	Cations (O=6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	55.3	1.90	51.4	1.92
TiO <sub>2</sub>	-	-	0.6	0.02
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4.8	0.20	2.8	0.12
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.3	0.09	0.4	0.01
FeO	6.5	0.19	7.5	0.23
MgO	32.6	1.67	16.8	0.93
CaO	<u>0.6</u>	0.02	<u>18.6</u>	0.75
Total	100.1		98.1	

## Sample 167: PS33688

	Diopside 1		Diopside 2	
	Wt %	Cations (O=6)	Wt %	Cations (O=6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	48.6	1.85	50.7	1.92
TiO <sub>2</sub>	1.3	0.04	0.5	0.01
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.2	0.23	2.9	0.13
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.3	0.01	0.6	0.02
FeO	8.2	0.26	7.3	0.23
MgO	13.6	0.77	16.1	0.91
CaO	<u>20.2</u>	0.82	<u>19.3</u>	0.78
Total	97.4		97.4	

  

	Diopside 3		Garnet 1	
	Wt %	Cations (O=6)	Wt %	Cations (O=24)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	50.7	1.90	37.4	5.93
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.8	0.02	-	-
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.4	0.15	21.5	4.02
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.7	0.02	-	-
FeO	7.5	0.23	32.2	4.27
MnO	-	-	1.5	0.20
MgO	15.9	0.88	4.9	1.16
CaO	<u>19.5</u>	0.78	<u>2.6</u>	0.43
Total	98.5		100.1	

- = not detected at limit quoted above.

031

Sample 195: PS33689

	Diopside 1		Diopside 2	
	Wt %	Cations (O=6)	Wt %	Cations (O=6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.3	1.92	51.2	1.91
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.5	0.01	0.6	0.02
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.1	0.14	3.2	0.14
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.8	0.02	1.1	0.03
FeO	7.1	0.22	6.9	0.21
MgO	16.5	0.92	16.0	0.89
CaO	18.8	0.75	19.1	0.76
Total	98.1		98.1	
	Diopside 3		Diopside 4	
	Wt %	Cations (O=6)	Wt %	Cations (O=6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	50.7	1.91	50.2	1.89
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.7	0.02	0.6	0.02
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.4	0.15	3.9	0.17
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.9	0.03	1.0	0.03
FeO	6.9	0.22	7.0	0.22
MgO	15.9	0.89	15.5	0.87
CaO	19.3	0.78	19.2	0.78
Total	97.8		97.4	
	Diopside 5		Diopside 6	
	Wt %	Cations (O=6)	Wt %	Cations (O=6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.1	1.90	51.5	1.90
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.7	0.02	0.7	0.02
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.5	0.15	3.4	0.15
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.1	0.03	1.0	0.03
FeO	6.8	0.21	7.1	0.22
MgO	15.8	0.87	16.0	0.88
CaO	20.2	0.80	20.0	0.79
Total	99.2		99.7	
	Diopside 7 (orthopyroxene)		Diopside 8	
	Wt %	Cations (O=6)	Wt %	Cations (O=6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	54.9	1.98	52.7	1.95
TiO <sub>2</sub>	-	-	0.4	0.01
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.2	0.05	2.3	0.10
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.2	0.01	0.5	0.02
FeO	14.3	0.43	7.1	0.22
MgO	26.6	1.43	17.5	0.97
CaO	2.2	0.09	18.0	0.71
Total	99.4		98.5	

- = not detected at limit quoted above

032

## Sample 195: (Continued)

	Diopside 9		Diopside 10	
	Wt %	Cations (0=6)	Wt %	Cations (0=6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.7	1.92	51.5	1.91
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.4	0.01	0.7	0.02
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.9	0.12	3.4	0.15
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.7	0.02	1.1	0.03
FeO	7.1	0.21	7.0	0.22
MgO	17.1	0.94	16.0	0.88
CaO	<u>18.6</u>	0.74	<u>19.6</u>	0.78
Total	98.5		99.3	

	Diopside 11		Diopside 12	
	Wt %	Cations (0=6)	Wt %	Cations(0=6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.2	1.93	50.6	1.89
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.4	0.01	0.7	0.02
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.1	0.09	3.8	0.17
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.6	0.02	0.9	0.03
FeO	7.4	0.23	7.4	0.23
MgO	17.6	0.97	16.2	0.90
CaO	<u>18.6</u>	0.74	<u>18.3</u>	0.73
Total	98.9		97.9	

	Diopside 13		Diopside 14	
	Wt %	Cations (0=6)	Wt %	Cations (0=6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.7	1.93	51.6	1.93
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.4	0.01	0.5	0.01
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.5	0.11	2.6	0.11
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.9	0.03	0.8	0.02
FeO	6.7	0.21	6.9	0.21
MgO	17.0	0.95	16.6	0.92
CaO	<u>18.7</u>	0.75	<u>18.9</u>	0.76
Total	97.9		97.9	

- = not detected at limit quoted above.

033

Sample 195: (Continued)

	<u>Diopside 15</u>		<u>Garnet 1</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (0=6)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (0=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.0	1.89	38.0	5.99
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.9	0.02	-	-
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4.2	0.18	21.8	4.05
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.9	0.03	-	-
FeO	7.0	0.22	30.6	4.02
MnO	-	-	1.5	0.21
MgO	15.6	0.86	5.8	1.35
CaO	<u>18.9</u>	<u>0.77</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>0.37</u>
Total	98.5		99.9	

Sample 201: PS33690

	<u>Diopside 1</u>		<u>Diopside 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (0=6)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (0=6)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	49.6	1.87	49.5	1.87
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.8	0.02	0.7	0.02
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4.8	0.21	4.9	0.22
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.8	0.02	0.8	0.02
FeO	7.0	0.22	6.8	0.21
MgO	14.9	0.83	15.1	0.85
CaO	<u>20.0</u>	<u>0.81</u>	<u>19.5</u>	<u>0.79</u>
Total	97.9		97.3	

  

	<u>Garnet 1</u>		<u>Garnet 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (0=24)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (0=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	37.2	6.00	36.9	5.93
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	20.9	3.98	21.2	4.02
FeO	22.5	3.03	26.6	3.58
MnO	15.0	2.05	13.0	1.76
MgO	0.6	0.15	0.8	0.18
CaO	<u>4.5</u>	<u>0.78</u>	<u>2.8</u>	<u>0.48</u>
Total	100.7		101.3	

- = not detected at limit quoted above.

034

Sample 201: (Continued)

	<u>Garnet 3</u>		<u>Garnet 4</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	36.8	5.86	41.6	6.56
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21.7	4.06	19.0	3.54
FeO	25.4	3.39	24.9	3.29
MnO	14.9	2.01	14.5	1.94
MgO	1.0	0.23	1.0	0.24
CaO	<u>2.2</u>	0.37	<u>0.5</u>	0.08
Total	102.0		101.5	

Sample 207: PS33691

	<u>Diopside 1</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.7	1.91
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.3	0.01
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.8	0.25
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.7	0.02
FeO	2.5	0.08
MgO	15.0	0.83
CaO	20.4	0.81
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>1.4</u>	0.10
Total	97.8	

Sample 368: PS33692

	<u>Diopside 1</u>		<u>Diopside 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.3	1.97	51.7	1.96
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.3	0.01	0.2	0.01
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.4	0.06	1.4	0.06
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	-	-
FeO	13.3	0.43	12.3	0.39
MgO	14.3	0.82	15.1	0.86
CaO	<u>17.0</u>	0.70	<u>17.4</u>	0.71
Total	97.6		98.1	

- = not detected at limit quoted above.

035

Sample 368: (Continued)

	<u>Garnet 1</u>		<u>Garnet 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (0=24)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (0=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	38.6	5.97	38.2	5.95
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21.3	3.88	21.6	3.96
FeO	25.7	3.33	29.5	3.84
MnO	1.4	0.18	1.0	0.13
MgO	7.5	1.74	5.8	1.34
CaO	<u>6.0</u>	0.99	<u>4.7</u>	0.78
Total	100.5		100.8	

	<u>Garnet 3</u>		<u>Garnet 4</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (0=24)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (0=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	38.4	5.97	38.7	5.95
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21.8	3.99	22.3	4.05
FeO	28.0	3.64	29.4	3.78
MnO	0.8	0.10	0.3	0.04
MgO	5.7	1.32	8.6	1.98
CaO	<u>6.1</u>	1.01	<u>1.3</u>	0.22
Total	100.8		100.6	

	<u>Garnet 5</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (0=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	37.7	5.96
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21.6	4.03
FeO	33.1	4.37
MnO	1.8	0.23
MgO	5.3	1.24
CaO	<u>1.2</u>	0.20
Total	100.7	

Sample 369: PS33693

	<u>Diopside 1</u>		<u>Diopside 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (0=6)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (0=6)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.7	1.98	51.6	1.97
TiO <sub>2</sub>	-	-	0.1	0.01
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.8	0.04	1.1	0.05
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	-	-
V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	0.2	0.01
FeO	21.7	0.69	13.6	0.43
MnO	0.4	0.01	0.3	0.01
MgO	18.0	1.03	14.2	0.81
CaO	<u>5.9</u>	0.24	<u>17.3</u>	0.71
Total	98.5		98.4	

- = not detected at limit quoted above

036

Sample 369: (Continued)

	<u>Garnet 1</u>		<u>Garnet 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	38.5	5.92	39.2	5.95
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.4	4.05	22.2	3.97
FeO	29.0	3.73	26.8	3.40
MnO	0.4	0.05	1.3	0.16
MgO	9.6	2.20	9.7	2.20
CaO	<u>0.8</u>	0.12	<u>2.3</u>	0.38
Total	100.7		101.5	

Sample 387: PS33694

	<u>Diopside 1</u>		<u>Garnet 1</u>		
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>	
SiO <sub>2</sub>	47.7	1.81	39.7	6.00	
TiO <sub>2</sub>	1.7	0.05	-	-	
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	6.4	0.28	21.9	3.91	
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.5	0.01	-	-	
FeO	7.2	0.23	19.3	2.44	014
MnO	-	-	0.2	0.03	
MgO	13.5	0.76	8.4	1.88	03
CaO	<u>20.5</u>	0.83	<u>11.1</u>	1.79	03
Total	97.5		100.6		

Sample 396: PS33695

	<u>Diopside 1</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.5	1.94
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.1	0.09
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.2	0.01
FeO	7.3	0.23
MgO	16.5	0.93
CaO	<u>19.6</u>	0.79
Total	97.2	

- = not detected at limit quoted above.

037

Sample 396: (Continued)

	<u>Garnet 1</u>		<u>Garnet 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	38.6	5.92	39.7	5.98
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.3	4.03	22.5	3.99
FeO	30.6	3.92	18.7	0.03
MnO	0.2	0.02	0.4	2.36
MgO	9.0	2.04	12.2	0.05
CaO	<u>0.7</u>	0.12	<u>5.5</u>	2.72
Total	101.4		99.0	

Sample 398: PS33696

	<u>Diopside 1</u>			
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>		
SiO <sub>2</sub>	50.5	1.88		
TiO <sub>2</sub>	1.2	0.03		
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4.1	0.18		
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.3	0.01		
FeO	7.2	0.22		
MgO	14.9	0.83		
CaO	<u>20.5</u>	0.82		
Total	98.7			

  

	<u>Garnet 1</u>		<u>Garnet 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	39.0	6.07	38.9	5.95
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	19.5	3.57	22.0	3.97
FeO	6.2	0.81	25.2	3.23
MnO	1.0	0.14	0.5	0.07
MgO	-	-	8.5	1.93
CaO	<u>33.3</u>	0.55	<u>5.6</u>	0.02
Total	99.0	5	100.7	

- = not detected at limit quoted above.

030

Sample 403: PS33697

	<u>Diopside 1</u>		<u>Garnet 1</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	50.9	1.91	38.1	5.99
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.4	0.01	0.2	0.01
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.4	0.15	21.1	3.90
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.0	0.03	-	-
FeO	6.4	0.20	28.2	3.70
MnO	-	-	1.1	0.15
MgO	17.0	0.95	5.1	1.19
CaO	<u>18.3</u>	0.74	<u>6.5</u>	1.09
Total	97.4		100.3	

	<u>Garnet 2</u>		<u>Garnet 3</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	38.2	5.99	38.5	6.00
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21.3	3.94	21.4	3.94
FeO	29.3	3.83	27.5	3.59
MnO	1.1	0.14	1.0	0.13
MgO	5.1	1.20	5.5	1.29
CaO	<u>5.6</u>	0.94	<u>6.5</u>	1.08
Total	100.6		100.4	

Sample L024: PS33701

	<u>Diopside 1</u>		<u>Diopside 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.8	1.96	52.6	1.96
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.4	0.01	0.4	0.01
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.5	0.07	2.0	0.09
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.4	0.01	0.7	0.02
FeO	8.7	0.28	8.4	0.26
MgO	17.0	0.96	17.4	0.97
CaO	<u>16.9</u>	0.69	<u>16.8</u>	0.67
Total	96.7		98.3	

- = not detected at limit quoted above.

039

## Sample L024: (Continued)

	Diopside 3		Diopside 4	
	Wt %	Cations (O=6)	Wt %	Cations (O=6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.0	1.94	51.7	1.94
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.6	0.02	0.5	0.01
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.0	0.09	2.2	0.10
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.9	0.03	0.7	0.02
FeO	8.3	0.26	8.3	0.26
MgO	16.9	0.94	16.7	0.94
CaO	17.3	0.69	<u>17.9</u>	0.72
Total			98.0	
	Diopside 5		Diopside 6	
	Wt %	Cations (O=6)	Wt %	Cations (O=6)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	49.8	1.89	50.5	1.91
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.7	0.02	0.8	0.02
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.4	0.15	3.8	0.17
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.0	0.03	0.4	0.01
FeO	8.0	0.25	8.9	0.28
MgO	14.9	0.84	15.9	0.90
CaO	<u>19.6</u>	0.80	<u>16.4</u>	0.67
Total	97.4		96.7	
	Garnet 1		Garnet 2	
	Wt %	Cations (O=24)	Wt %	Cations (O=24)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	39.8	5.95	39.4	5.98
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.8	4.01	22.2	3.96
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.3	0.03	0.2	0.02
FeO	20.6	2.58	26.8	3.40
MnO	0.6	0.08	0.3	0.04
MgO	10.8	2.41	10.8	2.43
CaO	<u>6.0</u>	0.96	<u>1.2</u>	0.19
Total	100.9		100.9	
	Garnet 3		Garnet 4	
	Wt %	Cations (O=24)	Wt %	Cations (O=24)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	39.4	6.00	38.9	5.95
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.0	3.94	22.2	4.01
FeO	23.6	3.00	25.7	3.29
MnO	0.3	0.04	1.3	0.17
MgO	9.1	2.07	6.9	1.56
CaO	<u>5.9</u>	0.97	<u>6.0</u>	0.99
Total	100.3		101.0	

- = not detected at limit quoted above.

040

Sample L024: (Continued)

	<u>Garnet 5</u>		<u>Garnet 6</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	38.9	5.94	38.5	5.97
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22.3	4.02	21.9	4.00
FeO	28.5	3.64	28.7	3.72
MnO	0.6	0.08	0.9	0.12
MgO	8.6	1.95	6.6	1.51
CaO	<u>2.1</u>	0.34	<u>4.0</u>	0.67
Total	101.0		100.6	

Sample L028: PS33702

	<u>Diopside 1</u>		<u>Diopside 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.2	1.95	51.7	1.94
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.6	0.02	0.4	0.01
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.2	0.97	2.4	0.11
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.6	0.02	0.8	0.02
FeO	6.5	0.20	6.2	0.19
MgO	16.4	0.91	16.2	0.90
CaO	<u>19.6</u>	0.78	<u>19.7</u>	0.79
Total	98.1		97.4	

Sample L039: PS33703

	<u>Diopside 1</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	54.4	1.99
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.6	0.07
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.1	0.03
FeO	2.4	0.07
MgO	16.1	0.88
CaO	22.3	0.87
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>0.9</u>	0.06
Total	98.8	

- = not detected at limit quoted above.

041

Sample L048: PS33704

	<u>Diopside 1</u>		<u>Diopside 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.4	1.94	51.7	1.91
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.7	0.02	0.7	0.02
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.3	0.10	3.3	0.14
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.4	0.01	1.1	0.03
FeO	7.4	0.23	6.9	0.21
MgO	17.3	0.95	15.8	0.87
CaO	18.5	0.73	20.0	0.79
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>0.2</u>	0.02	<u>0.3</u>	0.02
Total	99.2		99.8	

Sample L065: PS33705Diopside 1 (?High Fe Chlorite)

	<u>Wt %</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	22.1
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	23.2
FeO	32.2
MnO	0.4
MgO	<u>8.5</u>
Total	86.4

Sample L087: PS33706Diopside 1

	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=6)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.9	1.89
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.3	0.01
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	7.0	0.30
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.9	0.03
FeO	2.5	0.08
MgO	14.6	0.79
CaO	20.2	0.79
Na <sub>2</sub> O	<u>1.6</u>	0.11
Total	99.0	

- = not detected at limit quoted above.

042

Sample L087: (Continued)

	<u>Garnet 1</u>		<u>Garnet 2</u>	
	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>	<u>Wt %</u>	<u>Cations (O=24)</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	37.3	5.93	37.4	5.93
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	19.3	3.61	23.3	4.35
FeO	8.9	1.19	12.1	1.60
MnO	27.3	3.68	-	-
MgO	0.6	0.14	0.2	0.04
CaO	<u>9.3</u>	1.58	<u>23.2</u>	3.94
Total	102.7		96.2	

Sample L091: PS33707

	<u>Diopside 1 (Epidote)</u>
	<u>Wt %</u>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	37.2
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21.6
FeO	13.1
CaO	<u>23.0</u>
Total	94.9

- = not detected at limit quoted above.

043

## 4. SUMMARY

A summary of the  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$  contents of the diopsides analysed and the MgO content of the garnets analysed is as follows:

Sample	Diopside	Garnet
	$\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ (Wt %)	MgO (Wt %)
002	0.30, 0.86, 1.16	6.84, 7.20, 7.60
100	-	6.59, 6.92, 8.40
124	0.88, 0.94	8.29
159	0.16, 0.61	-
160	0.41	-
167	0.30, 0.55, 0.71	4.89
195	0.54, 0.56, 0.74, 0.76, 0.80, 0.84,	5.77
	0.91, 0.92, 0.94, 0.99, 1.01, 1.05,	
	1.09, 1.14	
201	0.79, 0.83	0.63, 0.66, 0.97, 1.02
207	0.72	-
368	<0.13, <0.13	5.71, 5.79, 7.54, 8.64
369	<0.13, <0.13	9.61, 9.74
387	0.45	8.36
396	0.19	8.95, 12.15
398	0.34	<0.05, 8.47
403	0.99	5.09, 5.13, 5.53
L024	0.43, 0.44, 0.68, 0.70, 0.92, 0.96	6.55, 6.85, 8.57, 9.13,
L028	0.63, 0.82	10.75, 10.83
L039	1.12	-
L048	0.41, 1.05	-
L087	0.91	0.19, 0.58